Minutes of the 8th State Level Technical Committee (SLTC) of AMRUT 2.0 held on 10th May 2023 at 10:00 AM through Video Conferencing by the Additional Chief Secretary, Local Self Government Department

The meeting of the 8th State Level Technical Committee of AMRUT 2.0, chaired by Smt. Sarada Muraleedharan IAS, Additional Chief Secretary, Local Self Government Department commenced at 10:00 AM (List of participants attached as Annexure 1).

Sri. Alex Varghese IAS, Mission Director, AMRUT presented the points in the agenda note.

Agenda item No.1: APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE 7th MEETING OF SLTC

The minutes of the 7th SLTC meeting of AMRUT 2.0 held on 16th February 2023.

Decision Taken:

The Committee approved the Minutes of the 7th SLTC meeting of AMRUT 2.0.

Agenda Item No.2: ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON THE DECISIONS OF THE 7th SLTC and 5th SHPSC

| Agenda Item | Action Taken | Decision Taken |
|---|---|--|
| Agenda Item No. 7.1: Progress of AMRUT 2.0 | Project progress is included as Agenda item-03. DPR prepared-WS- 183/208, SEW-26/26 & Water body rejuvenation- 219/255. TS issued for 67 WS, 16 SEW Projects, and 5 WBR Tender invited for 55 WS projects, 16 Sewerage projects by KWA, and 5 projects for the Rejuvenation of Water Bodies by ULBs. 9 water supply and 15 Sewerage projects were awarded. DPRs pending- WS- 10ULBs (25 Nos), Water body Rejuvenation- 14 ULBs (36 Nos.) Selection Process of DMD- Smt. A Sheeja has joined the Mission as Deputy Mission Director (Water Supply) and Sri. Luqman M has joined as Deputy Mission Director (Used Water). | Mission Director reported that several review meetings were taken to expedite the progress and that KWA and ULBs were following the TS/ tender process in a manner that would enable them to award all the works by the end of July 2023. Committee noted the progress and directed KWA and ULBs to expedite. |
| Agenda Item No. 7.2: ADMINISTRATIVE SANCTION FOR 11 WATER SUPPLY PROJECTS APPROVED UNDER 1st AND 2ND TRANCHES OF SWAP | AS was issued vide Government Order G.O.(Rt) No.677/2023/LSGD dated21-03-2023 for 11 WS projects. TS is to be issued by KWA. Koduvally Municipality -The negotiation process for the purchase of Land is in progress. Erattupetta Municipality- Preliminary report from KWA is awaited | Committee noted the action taken. KWA was asked to explore the possibility on whether common components of the Malankara based Neelur JJM scheme can be utilized for meeting water requirements of Erattupetta Municipality, as there is no sustainable water resources in that area. An estimate with essential components to be furnished to AMRUT. KWA was also instructed to submit a report to the Ministry of Jal Shakti informing the same. |

| Agenda Item | Action Taken | Decision Taken |
|--|--|---|
| Agenda Item No.7.3: ADMINISTRATIVE SANCTION FOR THE SEWERAGE PROJECT OF KOLLAM & KOCHI CORPORATIONS (SWAP-2): | ➤ AS was issued vide Government Order G.O.(Rt)No.711/2023/LSGD dated 25-03-2023 for 2 Sewerage projects in Kollam and Kochi. ➤ TS is to be issued by the KWA. | KWA has agreed to issue the Technical Sanction for the Kollam Sewerage project by 15 th May 2023 and for the Kochi STP by 25 th May 2023. |
| Agenda Item No.7.4: ADMINISTRATIVE SANCTION OF REJUVENATION OF WATERBODY PROJECTS APPROVED UNDER SWAP-2: | ➤ AS was issued vide Government Order G.O.(Rt) No.777/2023/LSGD dated 30-03-2023 for 96 projects of Rejuvenation of Water bodies. ➤ TS is to be issued by ULBs. | CE, LSGD was asked to take steps to speed up the TS/ tender process. |
| Agenda Item No.7.5:SELECTION OF PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS (PDMC) - PROGRESS REVIEW: | > The agenda in this regard is included in this Committee meeting. | Noted. |
| Agenda Item No.7.6: ASSIGNING THE CHARGE OF DISTRICT COORDINATOR AMRUT TO THE DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONERS (DDCS): | Government Order issued vide G.O.(Rt)No.681/2023/LSGD dated 22-03-2023. | Noted. |
| Agenda Item No.7.7: REAPPROPRIATION OF FUND FROM ULBS WITHOUT PROJECT PROPOSALS TILL MARCH 2023 | The direction in this regard was issued vide Govt. letter No. 112/DC1/2023/LSGD dated 29.03.2023. After the 5th SHPSC held in March, 145 DPRs related to WS, SEW & Water body projects have been received. DPRs pending - WS- 10ULBs (25 Nos), Water body Rejuvenation- 14 ULBs (36 Nos.)(Project progress is included as Agenda item-8.3.) Time may be given till 31st May 2023 for ULBs/KWA to submit the DPRs. | The Committee extended the time till 31st May 2023 for ULBs/ KWA to submit the DPRs. |
| Agenda Item No. 7.8:OPENING OF SEPARATE BANK ACCOUNT FOR MAINTAINING THE EARNEST MONEY DEPOSIT (EMD) AND PROCESSING FEE AT SNA: | ➤ Letter from CTP to Government (LSGD) requesting to issue Government orders to open the Bank account submitted vide letter No. LSGD/PD/21775/2023-TCPB3 dated 01.04.2023. | The Local Self Government Department (LSGD) has been instructed by the Committee to expedite the issuance of the Government Order (GO). |
| Agenda Item No. 7.9:To entrust the IMPACT KERALA with the task of implementing STP/FSTP schemes for the ULBs that are unable to do so far. | Government Order was issued vide G.O.(Rt)No.712/2023/LSGD dated 25-03-2023. | The Committee instructed to verify the resource availability in IMPACT Kerala before assigning the work to them and to communicate with the Director of Urban Affairs for enhancing the capacity of IMPACT KERALA for this purpose. |

Agenda Item No.8.3: PROGRESS OF AMRUT 2.0

The total outlay of AMRUT 2.0 for 93 Urban Local Bodies in Kerala is Rs.3495 Cr. with Central Assistance of Rs.1372 Cr. The 4th Apex committee meeting had approved the 1st Tranche of the State Water Action Plan (SWAP-1) for an amount of Rs.1938.52 Cr. (CAPEX - Rs.1842.658 Cr. & OPEX - Rs.95.86 Cr.). The 6th Apex committee held on 04.01.2023 approved the 2nd Tranche of the State Water Action Plan for an amount of Rs.683.082 Cr. (CAPEX – Rs.632.658 Cr. & OPEX – Rs.50.424 Cr., inclusive of Special Tranche for Water Body Rejuvenation).

8.3.1. PROJECT PROGRESS(Tranches 1&2)

| | Total | | | | DPR Prepared- Tranche (1& 2) | | AS accorded | | NIT Issued | Awarded Projects |
|--|-----------------|-----|---------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|-----|----------------|-----|---------------|---------------------|
| Sector | Total outlay | No. | Amount (CAPEX- Cr.) | No. | CAP EX (Cr.) | No. | CAPEX (Cr.) | No. | No. | No. |
| Water supply (Including floating in of 100 Cr.) | 1813.40 | 208 | 1909.408 | 183 | 1686.92 | 162 | 1398.24 | 67 | 55 | 9 |
| Floating Incentive Fund from SEW (Cr.) for AMRUT cities | 636.160 | 200 | 1707.100 | 100 | 1000,72 | 102 | 10,0121 | | | |
| Sewerage & Septage | 902.160 | 26 | 489.63 | 26 | 492.88 | 25 | 328.675 | 16 | 16 | 15 |
| Rejuvenation of Water bodies and development of green spaces and parks projects. | 143.340 | 255 | 76.27 | 219 | 65.525 | 96 | 21.065 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 3495.06 | 490 | 2475.308 | 428 | 2245.33 | 283 | 1747.98 | 88 | 76 | 24 |

8.3.2 WATER SUPPLY- STATUS OF DPR PENDING (Tranche -1&2- 25 Nos. (KWA-3, ULB-22)

| Sl. No. | ULB | Project Name | CAPEX (Cr.) | STATUS |
|------------|------------------------|---|-------------|---|
| Func | d to be reallocated | | | |
| 1 | Iritty | Household connection in various wards in Iritty Municipality | 11.350 | KWA informed that AMRUT fund may not be required as the comprehensive scheme will be taken up under KIIFB. Awaiting ULBs approval in this regard. #Fund to be reallocated |
| 2 | Mattannur | Providing Individual Water Connections in All wards in Mattannur Municipality | 13.378 | KWA informed that AMRUT fund may not be required as the comprehensive scheme will be taken up under KIIFB. #Fund to be reallocated |
| KW | A Projects | | | |
| 3 | Thiruvananthapura m | FIFN - Laying of HDPE pipe from Aruvikara to Peroorkada and construction of 10 MLD sump at Peroorkada | 94.93 | Pending with KWA. |

| WS/ | Micro WSS being d | irectly undertaken by ULBs | | |
|-----|-------------------|--|---------|--|
| 4 | Thrissur | Substituted project | 33 | 4 projects worth 25 Cr. were submitted instead of the cancelled project worth 58 Cr. The remaining 2 Proposals were submitted on the portal. Expected date for final DPR submission-30.05.2023. |
| 5 | Sreekandapuram | 4 Micro Schemes | 9.729 | DPR is under preparation by CWRDM. Expected date for submission 15-05-2023 |
| 6 | Wadakkancherry | 3 Micro Schemes | 16.075 | DPR is under preparation by CWRDM. Expected date for submission 31-05-2023 |
| 7 | Neeleswaram | 7 Micro Schemes | 12.25 | DPR is under preparation by CWRDM. Survey work was completed for 3 schemes. Expected date for submission 15-05-2023 |
| 8 | Kanhangad | 1 Micro Scheme | 5.0 | DPR is under preparation by SEUF. Expected date for submission - 15-05-2023 |
| 9 | Panoor | 5 Micro Schemes | 1.666 | DPR is under preparation by the Kottoor Society. Expected date for submission 15-05-2023 |
| 10 | Erattupetta | DPR for the Comprehensive scheme is yet to be prepared by KWA. | 8.876 | The project is to be revised as per the 5th SHPSC decision. The preliminary report from KWA is awaited. |
| | | 25 projects | 209.254 | |

8.3.3 Sewerage & Septage Projects in 9 AMRUT Cities- Status

Thiruvananthapuram, Kollam, Kochi, and Kozhikode submitted sewerage & septage project proposals amounting to Rs.489.63 Cr. and the same has been approved by the MoHUA (Thiruvananthapuram – SWAP-I, Kollam, Kochi & Kozhikode – SWAP-2). AS was issued for the SEW projects in Thiruvananthapuram, Kollam, and Kochi. The sewerage project proposed in Kozhikode for CAPEX Rs.164.20 Cr. has been placed in this SLTC for approval of AS.

New Proposals for SWAP-3

| ULB | Work Details | Status |
|------------|---|---|
| Alappuzha | 5 MLD STP with 13 KLD septage cotreatment at Alissery. | The proposal is included in the SWAP-3 projects. |
| Kannur | 5 MLD STP at Maithanapalli with 130 KLD septage co-treatment | The proposal is included in the SWAP-3 projects. |
| Thrissur | 5 MLD STP with 22 KLD septage cotreatment at Kottappuram | The proposal is included in the SWAP-3 projects. |
| Thrissur | Decentralized Sewerage Scheme for Thrissur Corporation (STP - 2.5 MLD at Kokkala, Technology - MBBR, Sewer Network - 25 Km.) | To be retendered (shifted from AMRUT-1.0). The proposal is included in the SWAP-3 projects. |
| Guruvayoor | Augmentation of existing 3 MLD STP to 5 MLD with 20 KLD septage cotreatment and 3.6 Km sewer network. | Approval of the Council to be obtained. |
| Palakkad | 30 KLD STP for Municipal Buildings (Nature Based) | The proposal is included in the SWAP-3 projects. |

| | 7 MLD STP at Avikkal Thodu - Zone- A, Package-A (Technology - MBBR) | Work stalled (shifted from AMRUT-1.0). The |
|-----------|--|---|
| Kozhikode | 48 Km sewer network- Zone-A, Package-A (Sewer Network - 48 Km, Pumping Station - 4 nos., Pumping Main - 1 Km & House Connection - 5501 nos.) | proposal is included in the SWAP-3 projects. |
| | 6 MLD STP at Kothi - Zone-A, Package-B (Technology - MBBR) | Work stalled (shifted from AMDIT 10). The |
| Kozhikode | 50 Km sewer network - Zone-A, Package-B (Sewer Network - 50 Km, Pumping Station - 3 nos., Pumping Main - 1.5 Km & House Connection - | Work stalled (shifted from AMRUT-1.0). The proposal is included in the SWAP-3 projects. |
| | 9546 nos.) | |

8.3.4 Expansion of SMMU and CMMUs of AMRUT

Vide G.O.(MS)No.11/2023/LSGD dated 13.01.2023, Government approved the Proposed Staff Structure and Remuneration of the State Mission Management Unit and City Management Units. The recruitment process for new posts has been completed.

- > Smt. A Sheeja has joined the Mission as Deputy Mission Director (Water Supply), Sri.Luqman M has joined as Deputy Mission Director (Used Water). Sri. Murali K has joined as Environmental Expert Cum Hydrogeologist.
- > 10 experts have joined the CMMU team.
- > A residential orientation/refresher training was conducted on the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th of May 2023 at IMG, Thiruvananthapuram.

Deliberation:

The progress of the approved projects under SWAP -1&2 was reviewed by the Committee.

The status of AMRUT 2.0 was explained by the Mission Director as follows:

- ➤ Out of 490 approved projects, Detailed Project Reports (DPRs) were prepared for 428 projects, Administrative Sanction (AS) was issued for 283, Technical Sanction (TS) was issued for 88, and 24 contracts were awarded.
- ➤ The Committee observed a gap in issuing TS for water supply and sewerage projects. Mission Director reported that Urban Local Bodies (ULBs) and Kerala Water Authority (KWA) were requested to issue technical sanctions for all the works by the end of May 2023 and to award all the contracts by the end of July 2023.
- ➤ The Committee noted that the DPR for the project of laying HDPE pipes from Aruvikara to Peroorkada and constructing a 10 MLD sump at Peroorkada in Thiruvananthapuram ULB is pending with KWA for a long time. According to KWA, a hydraulic study is necessary to verify the feasibility of the project and they have assured that they will finalize it within two weeks after receiving the report from the Chief Engineer.

The Sewerage projects proposed under SWAP-3 were reviewed by the Committee.

- ➤ The Secretary of Thrissur Corporation reported that the Clearance of LLMC for changing the category of the proposed land (paddy land) for 2.5 MLD STP at Kokkala has not been obtained due to objection from the Agriculture dpt.
- ➤ The ACS LSGD opined that the Secretary of Thrissur Corporation should verify the claim of Agricultural dpt. that the proposed site comes under the Ramsar category and submit the findings before the LLMC for obtaining clearance.
- The Committee also noted that the proposed Sewerage project in Guruvayur Municipality is

awaiting the consent of the Municipal Council. The Secretary assured that the approval will be obtained from the next Council.

Decision Taken:

- **➤** The Committee took note of the progress of AMRUT 2.0.
- The Committee directed ULBs and KWA to issue technical sanctions to all the approved projects by the end of May 2023 and to award all the contracts by the end of July 2023 to ensure the timely completion of the projects.
- The Committee decided to reallocate the funds allocated for Water supply projects for Iritty and Mattannur Municipalities for an amount of Rs. 24.73 Cr. since a comprehensive scheme will be taken up under KIIFB.
- The Committee directed ULBs and KWA to submit all the pending DPRs under tranches 1&2 and SWAP-3 proposals by 31st May 2023 and that the funds that remain unutilized as of 31st May 2023 may be reallocated to other ULBs in need. For Erattupetta Municipality, KWA was asked to explore the possibility on whether common components of the Malankara based Neelur JJM scheme can be utilized for meeting water requirements of Erattupetta Municipality, as there is no sustainable water resources in that area. An estimate with essential components to be furnished to AMRUT.
- > KWA was also instructed to submit a report to the Ministry of Jal Shakti informing the same..
- The Committee directed the Secretary of Thrissur Corporation to verify the claim of Agricultural dpt. that the proposed site (2.5 MLD STP) comes under the Ramsar category and submit the findings before the LLMC for obtaining clearance.
- ➤ The Committee directed the Secretary of Guruvayur Municipality to obtain consent for the proposed Sewerage project in the next Municipal Council itself and submit the proposal by 31st May 2023.

Agenda Item No.8.4: ADMINISTRATIVE SANCTION FOR WATER SUPPLY PROJECTS APPROVED UNDER 1st AND 2ND TRANCHES OF SWAP:

The 4th Apex committee meeting approved the 1st Tranche of the State Water Action Plan (SWAP-1) for an amount of Rs.1938.52 Cr. (CAPEX - Rs.1842.658 Cr. & OPEX - Rs.95.86 Cr.). The 6th Apex committee held on 04.01.2023 approved the 2nd Tranche of the State Water Action Plan for an amount of Rs.683.082 Cr. (CAPEX – Rs.632.658 Cr. & OPEX – Rs.50.424 Cr., inclusive of Special Tranche for Water Body Rejuvenation).

As of now, detailed project reports for 183/208 Water Supply projects were received from various ULBs under tranches-1 & 2. The administrative sanction for 162 WS projects amounting to Rs.1398.24 Cr. (CAPEX) was accorded and Government orders were also issued for the same.

9 ULBs have submitted 21 WS projects amounting to Rs.303.91 Cr. (CAPEX – Rs. 288.69 Cr. & OPEX – Rs.15.221 Cr.) including Micro Water supply schemes;

Kothamangalam Municipality has submitted 8 Micro Water supply project proposals costing Rs.8.7 Cr. An excess amount of Rs. 3.207 Cr. is required (Allocated fund- Rs. 7.99 Cr. 1 KWA & 8 MWSS projects costs Rs. 11.195 Cr.).

An excess amount of **Rs. 52 lakhs** in the allocated fund is required for the Mavelikkara Municipality to take up the water supply projects. (Allocated fund- Rs. 7.944 Cr. 3 WS projects costs Rs. 8.465 Cr.). For AMRUT 2.0 towns, an amount of Rs.100 Cr. was earmarked as a floating fund for the Water Supply Sector. The additional fund requirement can be met from this fund.

| Sl | III D(Annuoved SWAD | | Amount as Per DPR | | | |
|------|---|--|-------------------|-------|--------|---|
| No. | ULB(Approved SWAP details) | Name of the Project | Capex | | | Major Components as per DPR |
| 110. | ucturis) | | (Cr.) | (Cr.) | (Cr.) | |
| 1 | GURUVAYUR SWAP1 Capex (Cr.) 23.733 O&M(Cr.) 2.000 Total cost- 25.733 | AMRUT 2 – SWAP-1-WSS to Guruvayur Municipality In Thrissur District – Construction of 10LL capacity OHSR, Clear water Pumping mains, Distribution lines Providing FHTCs and allied works. | 23.730 | 2.000 | 25.730 | 1) 10 LL OHSR in Aankotta. 2)Clear water pumping main -2.6 Km 3) Providing new FHTCs- 4300 Nos. 4) Existing connections served in -1462 5) Distribution network- 16.5 KM 6) Road restoration charges- Rs. 4.82 Cr. |
| 2 | THRISSUR SWAP1 Capex (Cr.) 6.000 O&M(Cr.) 0.600 Total cost- 6.6 | AMRUT 2.0 - SWAP-1- Construction of l0LL capacity OHSR at Viyyur, supply and laying 250mm DI K9 pipe from Cheroor sump to OHSR. | 6.000 | 0.600 | 6.600 | 1) 10 LL OHSR at Sandhyaramam. Padukad 2) Clear Water Pumping Main-1.8 KM 3) Distribution network- 1.85 KM 4) Existing connections served in -1322 Nos. |
| 3 | THRISSUR SWAP1 Capex (Cr.) 32.000 O&M(Cr.) 3.200 Total cost- 35.2 | AMRUT 2.0- SWAP-1Construction of 6.0m dia intake well, Supply erection and commissioning of RW pumpset, Construction and commissioning of 5MLD WTP at Arattupuzha, providing dedicated feeders, and providing 8000 numbers of FHTCs for ollur zone of Thrissur corporation | 32.000 | 3.200 | 35.200 | 1) Construction of 6m diameter intake well and RW pumsets 50HP 2) Construction and commissioning of 5MLD WTP, SCADA 3) Providing 8000nos FHTCs 4) Existing connections served in -4193 5) LSGD & Corporation Road Restoration - 4.35 lakhs |
| 4 | THRISSUR SWAP1 Capex (Cr.) 19.00 O&M(Cr.) 1.90 Total cost- 20.90 | AMRUT 2.0- SWAP-1- Construction of a new WTP of 4 MLD at Olari with a Pumping station at Pullazhi with connected works. | 19.000 | 1.900 | 20.900 | 1) Construction and commissioning of 4 MLD WTP 2) Construction of 6.00 m dia Intake well cum pump house. 3) Construction of 6.00 m Dia RCC well cum pump house 4) Raw water pumping main-2.45 KM 5) Renovation of existing GLSR 6) Providing 5614 FHTCs 7) Existing connections served in-7450 8) Road restoration-16.10 Lakhs (Raw water pumping main) |
| 5 | THRISSUR SWAP1 Capex (Cr.) 6.000 O&M(Cr.) 0.600 Total cost- 6.600 | AMRUT-2-SWAP-1- Thrissur Corporation - Construction of 5LL capacity OHSR at Poomkunnam including transmission main | 6.000 | 0.600 | 6.600 | 1) Construction of 5 LL OHSR at Achuthamenon park Poonkunnam, 2) Distribution mains -1.5 KM 3) Road restoration- 36.10 Lakhs 4) Existing connections served in -2500 |

| Sl | ULB(Approved SWAP | Name of the Project | Amou | nt as Pe | r DPR | Major Components as per DPR |
|----|--|---|-------|----------|--------|---|
| 6A | THRISSUR Replacement Project | AMRUT 2.0- Construction of 10LL capacity OHSR at Viyyur - supply and laying 250rnm DI K9 pipe from Cheroor sump to OHSR-Phase 2 -Supply, erection and commissioning of Centrifugal Pumrpset , Supply,, laying ,testing and commissioning of 200 mm DI K9 and 90mm PVC pipe. | 3 | 0.010 | 3.010 | 1) 2 nos clear water pump set at Sump (one standby) for Viyyur OHSR 2) Extension of the the existing distribution lines -4.20 KM 3) Road Restoration Charges - PWD BMBC roads - Rs.4.65 lakhs. 4)Road Restoration Charges for Corporation roads - Rs.68.17 lakhs. 5) FHTCs - NIL 6) Existing connections served in-15,193 Nos |
| 6B | THRISSUR Replacement Project | AMRUT 2.0 -Construction of 5LL capacity OHSR and 5 LL capacity Sump at Poomkunnam including transmission main- Phase II-Supply, Laying of 250mmm DI clear water pumping main and supply erection and commissioning of motor pump sets. | 5 | 0.200 | 5.200 | 1) Motor pump set for OHSR at Poomkunnam, 2) clear water pumping main from Thekkinkaadu to 5LL OHSR at Poonkunnam -2.5 km 3) Road restoration- Rs. 60.16 lakhs 4) FHTCs -NIL 5) Existing connections served in-3000 Nos |
| 6C | THRISSUR Replacement Project | AMRUT 2.0- Replacing Existing 300 mm, 225 mm, 200 mm, 150 mm AC/Cl pipe line with 200 mm, 160 mm DI/PVC pipe from West fort to Chettupuzha Bridge | 10 | 0.200 | 10.200 | Replacing existing pipe from west fort to chettupuzhabridge10 KM Road restoration charges-Rs. Source of the charges of restored road-Rs. LP charges of restored road-Rs. FHTCs - NIL Existing connections served in- 3267 Nos |
| 6D | THRISSUR Replacement Project | AMRUT 2.0- Improving Water Supply Distribution Network by Pipe lime Extension at Various wards in Thrissur Corporation. | 7 | 0.020 | 7.020 | 1) Extension of Distribution lines - 30.99 KM 2) Road restoration charges for BMBC roads-43.36 Lakhs 3) Road restoration charges for Corporation roads - Rs.2.596 Cr4)FHTC - NIL 5)Existing connection Served in - 15193 Nos |
| 7 | PATTAMBI SWAP1 Capex (Cr.) 7.040 O&M(Cr.) 0.700 Total cost- 7.740 | AMRUT 2.0- SWAP-1- Augmentation of Existing Water Supply Scheme in Pattambi Municipality | 7.040 | 0.700 | 7.740 | 1) Construction of 10.00 LL OHSR at Madhavankunnu 2) Augmentation of existing 2.5 MLD Clariflocculator to 4 MLD. 3) Renovation of Filter Beds 4) Renovation of the existing plant building 5) Renovation of clear water pump house 6)Renovating the existing raw water pump houses 7)Strengthening pumping Mains 8)Laying Pumping Main to Proposed 10 LL Overhead tank- 1.2 KM. 9)Regulating the Existing mini water supply schemes 10) New FHTCs- 1000 11) Existing connections served |

| Sl | ULB(Approved SWAP | Name of the Project | Amou | nt as Pe | r DPR | Major Components as per DPR |
|----|---|---|--------|----------|--------|--|
| | | | | | | in- 4581 |
| 8 | MAVELIKKARA SWAP1 Capex (Cr.) 0.10 O&M(Cr.) 0.005 Total cost- 0.105 | "AMRUT 2.0 – SWAP-1- REPLACING 400MM PREMO TRANSMISSION MAIN USING 400MM DI PIPE IN MAVELIKKARA MUNICIPALITY" | 0.153 | 0.020 | 0.173 | 1) Transmission main for a length of 400.00m 2) New FHTCs- 1050 3) Existing connections served in- 4466 4) Road restoration- 6.08 lakh" |
| 9 | TANUR SWAP1 Capex (Cr.) 20.636 O&M(Cr.) 1.000 Total cost- 21.636 | AMRUT 2.0 - SWAP-1- Providing FHTC from new WTP SCheme by providing additional distribution system- Tanur Municipality | 20.360 | 1.018 | 21.378 | 1) Providing 22500 FHTCs 2) Distribution network-10 KM 3) Road restoration Charges-16.46 lakhs |
| 10 | KOCHI SWAP1 Capex (Cr.) 1.000 O&M(Cr.) 0.005 Total cost- 1.005 | AMRUT 2.0- SWAP1- PRIORITY-3-Improving water supply to divisions 33,71 and 72- Replacing old and damaged 100 mm AC pipes in Thannikkal - Keerthinagar road and Perumbotta road | 5.000 | 0.050 | 5.050 | 1) Replacing existing line as a Rider line with two parallel lines tp avoid cross cutting- 2 KM. 2) Existing connections served in- 5842 3) Road restoration charges-2.061 Cr. |
| 11 | KOCHI Replacement Project SWAP1 Capex (Cr.) 4.000 O&M(Cr.) 0.150 Total cost- 4.150 | Amrut 2.0-Priority 25-Water supply improvement to Edakochi Zone- Construction of 5LL capacity GLSR,pumphouse,and supply and installation of Pump set | 2.496 | 0.106 | 2.602 | 1) 5LL Ground Level Service Reservoir 2) FHTCs- 200 3) Existing connections served in- 5195 4) Providing Pump sets |
| 12 | PAYYANNUR SWAP1 Capex (Cr.) 23.211 O&M(Cr.) 1.161 Total cost- 24.372 | AMRUT 2- SWAP1- PACKAGE I: WATER SUPPLY SCHEME TO PAYYANUR MUNICIPALITY IN KANNUR DISTRICT- INTAKE WELL CUM PUMPHOUSE & PACKAGE II: WSS TO PAYYANNUR MUNICIPALITY - PIPE LINE EXTENSION AND PROVIDING FUNCTIONAL WATER CONNECTION TO HOUSEHOLDS | 23.210 | 1.162 | 24.372 | 1) Construction of 10.00 m dia intake well and pump house. 2) Construction of Transformer room at Intake Well Cum PumpHouse 4)Supply Erection and maintenance of 450 HP VT Pump set 5)Maintenance of WTP 6) Length of new network- 8.7 KM 7) Length of Pumping Mains- 300 M 8)FHTCs-6000 |
| 13 | KOTHAMANGALAM SWAP1 Capex (Cr.) -1.50 O&M (Cr.) - 0.06 Total Cost - 1.56 | Ward-12: Valiyapara Drinking Water Project | 1.5 | 0.075 | 1.575 | 1)New intake well and pump house. 2)pumping system. 3)service reservoir1 LL 4)distribution network-5.51 KM 5) Existing connections served in- 257 Nos |
| 14 | SWAP1 Capex (Cr.) - 0.800 O&M (Cr.) - 0.030 Total Cost - 0.83 | Ward-27: Vilayal Drinking Water Project | 0.75 | 0.038 | 0.788 | 1)New intake well and pump house. 2)pumping system. 3)service reservoir0.25 LL 4)distribution network-2 KM 5) Existing connections served in- 122 Nos |
| 15 | KOTHAMANGALAM SWAP1 | Ward-13: Puthuppady Drinking Water Project | 1 | 0.050 | 1.050 | 1)New intake well and pump house. 2)pumping system. |

| Sl | ULB(Approved SWAP | Name of the Project | Amou | nt as Pe | r DPR | Major Components as per DPR |
|----|---|---|---------|----------|---------|--|
| | Capex (Cr.) -0.800 O&M (Cr.) - 0.030 Total Cost - 0.83 | | | | | 3)service reservoir0.75 LL 4)distribution network-3.86 KM 5) Existing connections served in- 174 Nos |
| 16 | SWAP1 Capex (Cr.) - 0.520 O&M (Cr.) - 0.020 Total Cost - 0.54 | Ward-06: Ayyappanmudy Drinking Water Project | 1.35 | 0.068 | 1.418 | 1)New intake well and pump house. 2)pumping system. 3)service reservoir0.7 LL 4)distribution network- 5.965 KM 5) Existing connections served in- 169 Nos |
| 17 | SWAP1 Capex (Cr.) - 0.773 O&M (Cr.) - 0.030 Total Cost -0.803 | Ward-25: South Venduvazhy Drinking Water Project | 1.55 | 0.078 | 1.628 | 1)New intake well and pump house. 2)pumping system. 3)service reservoir1 LL 4)distribution network-4.71 KM 5) Existing connections served in- 269 Nos |
| 18 | SWAP1 Capex (Cr.) - 1.200 O&M (Cr.) - 0.050 Total Cost - 1.25 | Ward-3&4: Karingazha Drinking Water Project | 1.75 | 0.088 | 1.838 | 1)New intake well and pump house. 2)pumping system. 3)service reservoir1 LL 4)distribution network-7.55 KM 5) Existing connections served in- 270 Nos |
| 19 | SWAP1 Capex (Cr.) - 0.300 O&M (Cr.) - 0.040 Total Cost -0.34 | Ward-22: Karakkunnam Drinking Water Project | 0.55 | 0.028 | 0.578 | 1)New intake well and pump house. 2)pumping system. 3)service reservoir0.25 LL 4)distribution network-2.075 KM 5) Existing connections served in- 125 Nos |
| 20 | SWAP1 Capex (Cr.) - 0.300 O&M (Cr.) - 0.120 Total Cost - 0.42 | Ward-06: Kumbalathumury Drinking Water Project | 0.25 | 0.013 | 0.263 | 1)New intake well and pump house. 2)pumping system. 3)service reservoir0.1 LL 4)distribution network-1 KM 5) Existing connections served in- 27 Nos |
| 21 | KOZHIKODE Replacement Project | AMRUT 2.0 - Augmentation of 174MLD to 210MLD of Peruvannamoozhi plant - Replacing of Premo and AC pipe transmission main at Malaparamba and Tali and providing FHTC's | 110 | 3.000 | 113.000 | 1) Augmentation of Water Treatment plant of 174 MLD to 210 MLD 2) Replacing premo transmission main at malaparamba -4.75 KM 3) Length of New network- 6.9 KM 4) 24 X 7 WS at the Corporation area 5) Road restoration- Rs.1.85Cr 6)FHTC - 15,00,0 Nos 7) Existing connection served in- 95,087 Nos |
| | | TOTAL | 288.689 | 15.22 | 303.909 | |

All the DPRs of Kerala Water Authority have been scrutinized by the KWA Headquarters. Only feasible projects with admissible components, undisputed land, and Operation & Maintenance for 5 years are recommended for approval.

All the projects **except the Micro water supply projects** satisfied the criteria stipulated in the SHPSC for AMRUT 2.0- Cost per household benefitted less than or equal to Rs.50000/- and coverage achieved greater than 75%.

Major Components proposed:

- Micro Water supply schemes in Kothamangalam Municipality- 8 Nos (Source-Well and Pond)
- Source Augmentation –62.18 MLD
- Construction and commissioning of 5MLD WTP at Arattupuzha in Thrissur.
- Construction and commissioning of 4 MLD WTP at Pullazhi in Thrissur.
- Augmentation of existing 2.5 MLD WTP to 4 MLD in Pattambi Municipality.
- Augmentation of 174MLD to 210MLD of Peruvannamoozhi plant in Kozhikode.
- Construction of 5New Storage tanks.
- Transmission Network-21.52 KM
- New distribution network- 111.81 KM
- Replacement of existing lines-16.75 KM
- Total New connections (FHTCs) 65,077
- Existing Connections served in − 1,68,751
- Total households benefitted 2,33,828

Deliberations:

- ❖ The Project-wise details were discussed and the Committee recommended the 13 WS projects of the following ULBs, which satisfied the criteria Cost per household benefitted less than or equal to Rs.50000/- and coverage achieved greater than 75%.
 - Guruvayur- Project Recommended for approval.
 - Thrissur-Project Recommended for approval.
 - Pattambi-Project Recommended for approval.
 - Mavelikkara-Project Recommended for approval.
 - Tanur- Project Recommended for approval.
 - Kochi- Project Recommended for approval.
 - Payvannur- Project Recommended for approval.
 - **Kozhikode-**Project Recommended for approval.
- ❖ Kothamangalam- The ULB presented 8 Micro WSS projects to the Committee for approval. The Committee recommended scheduling a separate meeting with the DPR consultant soon for a detailed review of the same.

Decision taken:

The committee recommended the following to the SHPSC;

- ➤ Issuance of Administrative Sanction for 13 WS projects satisfied the criteria stipulated in the SHPSC for AMRUT 2.0- Cost per household benefitted less than or equal to Rs.50000/- and coverage achieved greater than 75%, amounting to Rs.294.774 Cr. (CAPEX Rs. 279.989 Cr. & OPEX Rs.14.786 Cr.)
- Allocation of additional funds for Mavelikkara Municipality for an amount of Rs. 52 lakhs from the floating fund for WS projects was recommended.
- > The committee directed to schedule a separate meeting with the DPR consultant for a detailed review of Micro Water supply schemes for Kothamangalam ULB.
- > KWA was directed to issue the TS after ensuring technical viability, adequate source of water, justified provisions & rates, necessary clearances, 5-year

- Operation & Maintenance, and only admissible components are included as per AMRUT guidelines.
- > KWA was directed to ensure the non-inclusion of Operation & Maintenance costs, Supervision charges, and Value of land/ structures required for the project development under Central Assistance. If the said components are inevitable, the cost for the same may be met from the State/ ULB/KWA fund.
- The over and above the approved amount shall be borne by the ULBs.

Agenda Item No.8.5: <u>ADMINISTRATIVE SANCTION FOR THE SEWERAGE</u> PROJECT OF KOZHIKODE CORPORATION (SWAP-2):

The 6th Apex Committee meeting under the AMRUT 2.0 held on 04.01.2023 approved Tranche-2 of the State Water Action Plan (SWAP-2) comprising 10 Water Supply projects of 9 ULBs, 3 Sewerage projects of 3 ULBs (Kollam, Kochi and Kozhikode Corporations) and 255 Water Body Rejuvenation projects of 67 ULBs.

KWA had submitted the Detailed Project Report (DPR) for the project proposed in Kozhikode Corporation for an amount of Rs.179.29 Cr. (CAPEX – Rs.164.20 Cr. & OPEX – Rs.15.09 Cr.). The DPR has been scrutinized by the KWA Headquarters. Only feasible projects with undisputed land and with Operation & Maintenance for 5 years are recommended for approval.

Vide letter no. EG21/71219/21 dated 31.03.2023 the Secretary Kozhikode Corporation has requested approval to meet the excess amount required for the Sewerage Sector of the ULB from the unutilized fund in the Water Body Rejuvenation Sector. The fund details are as follows:

Fund Allotted to the ULB in Sewerage Sector

Floating Fund Allotted

Total Fund Available

- Rs.163.54 Cr.

- Rs.139.03 Cr.

- Rs.302.57 Cr. (1)

Amount (CAPEX) required for the Sarovaram Sewerage Project - Rs.164.20 Cr.

Amount (CAPEX) required for the projects transferred from AMRUT-1.0 - Rs.140.00 Cr. **Total Amount Required** - **Rs.304.20 Cr.** (2)

Excess Amount Required - Rs.1.63 Cr. (3)=(2) - (1)

Total Fund available in the Water Body Rejuvenation & Park Sector

Approved SWAP (1 & 2) Amount

- Rs.143.34 Cr. (4)

- Rs.76.27 Cr. (5)

Balance Amount Available - Rs.67.07 Cr.(6)= (4) - (5)

Details of the sewerage project of Kozhikode Corporation for which the AS is requested are as follows:

| Sl. | ULB | Name of the Project | DPR P | ROJEC | T COST | Major Components |
|-----|--------------------|--|----------------|--------------|---------------------|---|
| No. | | | CAPEX (Cr.) | O&M (Cr.) | Total Cost (Cr.) | |
| 1 | CAPEX – 160.00 Cr. | AMRUT 2.0- SWAP-2 -Construction of 27 MLD Capacity Sewerage Treatment Plant and Laying Sewerage Network to Zone B-Phase1 | 164.20 | 15.09 | 179.29 | 27 MLD STP – SBR Technology Septage Co-treatment - 220 KLD Sewer Network - 49.76 Km (new) & 5.89 Km (existing) Pumping Stations - 3 nos. Lifting Stations - 2 nos. Manholes - 1822 nos (new) & 247 nos. (existing) |

| Sl. | ULB | Name of the Project | DPR PROJECT COST | | T COST | Major Components |
|-----|-------|---------------------|------------------|-------|--------|------------------------------|
| | | | | | | House Connection - 3128 nos. |
| | TOTAL | | 164.20 | 15.09 | 179.29 | |

Decision Taken:

The major components of the proposed Sewerage Treatment Plant and Laying Sewerage Network in Kozhikode Corporation were discussed in detail by the committee and recommended to place the following to the SHPSC:

- ➤ Issuance of Administrative Sanction for the sewerage project proposed in Kozhikode Corporation, amounting to Rs.179.29 Cr. (CAPEX Rs.164.20 Cr. & OPEX Rs.15.09 Cr.).
- > The request of the Kozhikode Corporation to meet the excess amount of Rs. 1.63 Cr. required for the Sewerage Sector from the Water Body Rejuvenation & Park Sector.
- > The over and above the approved amount shall be borne by the ULBs.
- > KWA was directed to issue the TS after ensuring technical viability, adequate source of water, justified provisions & rates, necessary clearances, 5-year Operation & Maintenance, and only admissible components are included as per AMRUT guidelines.
- > KWA was directed to ensure the non-inclusion of Operation & Maintenance costs, Supervision charges, and Value of land/ structures required for the project development under Central Assistance. If the said components are inevitable, the cost for the same may be met from the State/ ULB/KWA fund.

Agenda Item No.8.6: <u>ADMINISTRATIVE SANCTION OF REJUVENATION OF</u> WATERBODY PROJECTS APPROVED UNDER SWAP-2:

Rejuvenation of water bodies is a significant component of the Mission and 4% of central project funds are allocated for the purpose along with 1% of funds for the development of green spaces & parks for maximum Central Assistance of 68.60 Crore. A special SWAP of 255 projects for the rejuvenation of Water Bodies worth Rs.82.354 Cr. (CA- Rs. 34.02 Cr.) was submitted and the 6th Apex committee held on 04.01.2023 approved the same.

As per the Guidelines of AMRUT 2.0, Admissible components under Rejuvenation of Water bodies include;

- Rejuvenation of wetlands, and water bodies by desilting, strengthening the embankments, and stone packing.
- Diverting the polluting drains to treatment plants.
- Harvesting the rainwater through storm water drains into the water body. (which is not receiving sewage/ effluent)
- Strengthening/ rejuvenation of the aquifers/ community wells.
- Creation/ strengthening of storm water drains around the water body.
- Provision of STP to treat inflow into the water body.
- Development of community green spaces linked to a clean water body.

The 3rd Apex Committee had also given direction to all States to take up temple tanks and other traditional water bodies requiring rejuvenation in their Special SWAPs.

AS was issued for 96 Rejuvenation of Water Body projects amounting to Rs.22.654 Cr. (CAPEX - Rs.21.065 Cr. & OPEX - Rs.1.589 Cr.)

Now 37 ULBs submitted proposals for 123 Rejuvenation of Water Body projects proposed amounting to Rs. 44.459 Cr. (CAPEX – Rs.41.404 Cr. & OPEX - Rs.3.055 Cr.) as follows;

| Sl No. | ULB | Name of the work | Amount as | | | Major Components as per DPR |
|--------|--|--|-------------------|--------------|---------------|---|
| 51110. | CLB | Name of the work | Capex (Cr.)(a) | O&M (Cr.) | Total Cost | |
| 1 | THRIKKAKARA CAPEX (CR.)=0.25 O&M (CR.)=0.05 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.3 | AMRUT 2.0 REJUVENATION OF VALIYAKULAM AT PADAMUGHAL, WARD- 28,THRIKKAKARA MUNICIPALITY | 0.25 | 0.05 | 0.3 | Renovation Slab for footpath & footpath drain |
| 2 | RAMANATTUKARA CAPEX (CR.)=0.41 O&M (CR.)=0.04 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.45 | AMRUT 2.0 - CHIRAKKAMKULAM POND REJUVENATION | 0.856 | 0.04 | 0.896 | DR masonry all around the pond, 2. Bailing out water 3. desilting of the pond, 4.fixing steel railing along sides |
| 3 | HARIPAD CAPEX (CR.)=0.8 O&M (CR.)=0.01 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.81 | AMRUT 2.0 . REJUVENATION AND SIDE PROTECTION OF BALAMURUKA TEMPLE POND IN HARIPAD MUNICIPALITY | 0.80 | 0.01 | 0.81 | 1Bailing out water 2.Earthwork in excavation 3.DR Masonry |
| 4 | HARIPAD CAPEX (CR.)=0.1 O&M (CR.)=0.01 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.11 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION AND SIDE PROTECTION OF PERUMKULAM TEMPLE POND IN HARIPAD MUNICIPALITY WARD 26 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 1.Bailing Out Water Using 2.Dry Rubble Masonry 3.Supplying And Fixing the Name Board |
| 5 | PAYYANNUR CAPEX (CR.)=0.35 O&M (CR.)=0.005 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.355 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION OF PERUM KULAM IN PAYYANNUR MUNICIPALITY | 0.35 | 0.005 | 0.355 | Rejuvenation Of Pond Earth Work In Excavation Foundation For Compound Wall Approach road Dry rubble masonry Laterite masonry painting |
| 6 | PAYYANNUR CAPEX (CR.)=0.7 O&M (CR.)=0.01 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.71 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION OF ANNUR VAYAL POND IN PAYYANNUR MUNICIPALITY | 0.7 | 0.01 | 0.71 | 1.Rejuvenation Of Pond 2.Earth Work In Excavation 3.Footpath 4.Compound Wall 5.Dry Rubble Masonry |
| 7 | CHERTHALA CAPEX (CR.)=0.7 O&M (CR.)=0.01 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.71 | AMRUT 2.0- RENOVATION OF CHERAKULAM RENOVATION OF POND IN CHERTHALA MUNICIPALITY WARD 17 | 0.7 | 0.01 | 0.71 | 1.renovation of ponds 2.DR masonry 3.cleaning |

| Sl No. | ULB | Name of the work | Amount as Per DPR | | | Major Components as per DPR |
|--------|--|---|-------------------|---------|---------|--|
| 8 | WADAKKANCHERY CAPEX (CR.)=0.135 O&M (CR.)=0.015 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.15 | KUN AMRUTH 2.0 - WADAKKANCHERY MUNICIPALITY- REJUVENATION OF KUNJUKULAM JUKULAM | 0.13828 | 0.01172 | 0.15000 | 1.Renovation Of Ponds 2.Dr Masonry 3.Cleaning, Pumping And Bailing Out 4.Earth Work |
| 9 | WADAKKANCHERY CAPEX (CR.)=0.36 O&M (CR.)=0.04 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.4 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION OF ERATTAKULANGARA KULAM, IN WADAKKANCHERY MUNICIPALITY-DIV 9- GENERAL CIVIL WORK | 0.38794 | 0.01206 | 0.40000 | 1.Renovation Of Ponds 2.Dr Masnory 3.Cleaning, Pumping And Bailing Out 4.Earth Work 5.Culvert |
| 10 | WADAKKANCHERY CAPEX (CR.)=0.099 O&M (CR.)=0.011 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.11 | - AMRUTH 2.0- WADAKKANCHERY MUNICIPALITY REJUVENATION OF AYYANKULAM DIV- 32 | 0.098 | 0.0121 | 0.110 | 1.Pumping and bailing out 2.Earth work excavation 3.DR Masnory |
| 11 | WADAKKANCHERY CAPEX (CR.)=0.385 O&M (CR.)=0.015 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.4 | AMRUTH2.0- WADAKKANCHERY MUNICIPALITY REJUVENATION OF KAVATHUKULAM DIV-30-2022/23 | 0.3866 | 0.01343 | 0.4000 | 1.Pumping and bailing out 2.Earth work excavation 3.DR Masnory |
| 12 | WADAKKANCHERY CAPEX (CR.)=0.36 O&M (CR.)=0.04 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.4 | AMRUTH 2.0 WADAKKANCHERY MUNICIPALITY REJUVENATION OF CHULLIKKODE | 0.3883 | 0.0117 | 0.4000 | 1.Pumping and bailing out 2.Earth work excavation 3.DR Masnory 4.RR Masnory |
| 13 | WADAKKANCHERY CAPEX (CR.)=0.36 O&M (CR.)=0.04 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.4 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION OF KAITHAKODE CHIRA IN DIVISION NO. 140F WADAKKANCHERY MUNICIPALITY | 0.3877 | 0.0124 | 0.4000 | 1.Pumping and bailing out 2.Earth work excavation 3.DR Masnory 4.RR Masnory 5.Side protection |
| 14 | THODUPUZHA CAPEX (CR.)=0.03 O&M (CR.)=0.01 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.04 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION OF UROOZHI POND | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 1. rejuvenation of pond 2.fixing of handrail 3. Remove the waste accumulated in the pond and protect the pond by constructing a fence around the pond. |
| 15 | THODUPUZHA CAPEX (CR.)=0.03 O&M (CR.)=0.0015 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.0315 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF VAZHAPPEEDIKA POND | 0.03 | 0.0015 | 0.0315 | 1. General cleaning. Clearing and other miscelleneous works 2.Bailing out water 3 Water channel is given with drain provision and side wall support is given with a height of 2.5 m to avoid falting of soil and support for the mud road. |
| 16 | THODUPUZHA CAPEX (CR.)=0.25 O&M (CR.)=0.0125 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.2625 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION OF PUTHUCHIRA POND | 0.1 | 0.0125 | 0.1125 | 1. providing pcc,plastering works, 2. Dry Rubble works, 3. solid block masonry works, 4. Fixing of handrail around the pond, Pointing works in the wall 5. cement primer works. 6. Interlocking paver block is |

| SI No. | ULB | Name of the work | Amount as Per DPR | | | Major Components as per DPR |
|--------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|---|
| | | | | | | also provided in the' |
| | | | | | | approaching lane. |
| 17 | ALAPPUZHA | AMRUT-2.0 - | 0.2608 | 0.0052 | 0.266 | 1. Retaining wall |
| | G . DTT (GD) 0 4 (00 | REJUVENATION OF | | | | 2.DR Masnory |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.2608 | KANIYAMPARAMBU | | | | 3.Earth work excavation |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.0052 | THODU AT | | | | 4.RR Masnory |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.266 | ALAPPUZHA MUNICIPALITY | | | | |
| 18 | ALAPPUZHA | AMRUT2.0- | 0.47 | 0.03 | 0.5 | 1. Retaining wall |
| 10 | 1121111 021111 | REJUVENATION OF | 0117 | 0.02 | "" | 2.DR Masonry |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.47 | PONJIKKARA THODU | | | | 3.Earth work excavation |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.03 | IN ALAPPUZHA | | | | 4.RR Masonry |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.5 | MUNICIPALITY | | | | _ |
| 19 | ALAPPUZHA | AMRUT-2.0 | 0.392 | 0.008 | 0.4 | 1.STONE WORK |
| | | REJUVENATION OF | | | | 2.RCC belt |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.392 | VELIYAKULAM | | | | 3.pond cleaning |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.008 | PUBLIC POND IN | | | | 4.Fencing |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.4 | | | | | |
| | | ALAPPUZHA | | | | |
| | | MUNICIPALITY | | | | |
| 20 | ALAPPUZHA | AMRUT 2.0- | 0.342 | 0.008 | 0.350 | 1. Clearing grass and removal of |
| | | REJUVENATION OF | | | | rubbish |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.82 | PANCHARATHODU | | | | 2. Earthwork in excavation |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.02 | AT ALAPPUZHA | | | | 3. DR masonry |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.84 | MUNICIPALITY | | | | 4. Earthwork excavation in or underwater |
| 21 | ALAPPUZHA | AMRUT 2.0 - | 0.592 | 0.02 | 0.612 | Clearing grass and removal of |
| 21 | ALAFFUZHA | REJUVENATION OF | 0.392 | 0.02 | 0.012 | rubbish |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.592 | SHADAMONY THODU | | | | 2. Earthwork in excavation |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.02 | IN ALAPPUZHA | | | | 3. DR masonry |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.612 | MUNICIPALITY | | | | 4. Earthwork excavation in or |
| | (3.1) | | | | | underwater |
| 22 | ALAPPUZHA | AMRUT 2.0- | 0.9 | 0.05 | 0.95 | 1. Earthwork in excavation |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.9 | ALAPPUZHA | | | | 2. DR masonry |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.05 | MUNICIPALITY- | | | | 3. Supplying and fitting TMT |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.95 | REJUVENATION OF | | | | bars |
| | | RANI THODU AT | | | | 4. Earthwork excavation in |
| | | ALAPPUZHA | | | | underwater |
| 22 | ET OOD | MUNICIPALITY | 0.4 | 0.015 | 0.41.7 | 100.14 |
| 23 | ELOOR | AMRUT2.0- | 0.4 | 0.015 | 0.415 | 1.DR Masnory |
| | CADEV (CD)=0.2 | REJUVENATION OF EDAMPADAM THODU | | | | 2.Earth work excavation 3.Clearing jungle |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.3 O&M (CR.)=0.015 | IN WARD NO. 24 OF | | | | 4. Pumping or bailing out |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.315 | ELOOR | | | | 7.1 umping of balling out |
| | 101AL COST (CK.)-0.313 | MUNCIPALITY | | | | |
| 24 | THRIPUNITHURA | AMRUT2.0- | 0.5 | 0.01 | 0.51 | "1.ring bund |
| | | REJUVANATION OF | | | | 2.parapet |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.5 | THAMMANDI POND | | | | 3.dry rubble masonry |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.01 | | | | | 4." |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.51 | | | | | |
| 25 | KOYILANDI | AMRUT 2.0- | 0.265 | 0.0265 | 0.2915 | 1. Dismantling DR |
| | | REJUVENATION OF | | | | 2.DR MASNORY |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.265 | NANAM CHIRA POND | | | | 3.Earth work |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.0265 | | | | | 4.Pumping and bailing out |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.2915 | | | | | 4. |

| Sl No. | ULB | Name of the work | Amount as | Per DPR | | Major Components as per DPR |
|--------|---|--|-----------|---------|--------|--|
| 26 | KOYILANDI CAPEX (CR.)=0.25 O&M (CR.)=0.025 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.275 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF NAMBI VEETTIL POND | 0.25 | 0.025 | 0.275 | 1.Clearing jungle 2.DR MASNORY 3.Earth work 4.Pumping and bailing out 4.Silt Removal 5.Cement concrete pavement interlocking |
| 27 | KOYILANDI CAPEX (CR.)=0.21 O&M (CR.)=0.021 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.231 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF CHETTYATT POND | 0.21 | 0.021 | 0.231 | 1.Earth work excavation 2.DR Masonry 3.RCC work 4.Cleaning and maintenance |
| 28 | CHAVAKKAD CAPEX (CR.)=0.4 O&M (CR.)=0.1 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.5 | AMRUT 2.0- RENOVATION OF PULICHIRAKETTU POND IN WARD -25 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.cleaning 2.retaining wall to separate pond from mathikayal 3.compound wall in west & north side 4.foot path 5.name board |
| 29 | CHITTUR- THATHAMANGALAM CAPEX (CR.)=0.9841 O&M (CR.)=0.1 TOTAL COST (CR.)=1.0841 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION OF KARYAKKAR POND | 0.9841 | 0.1 | 1.0841 | 1.side protection 2.dismantling 3.dry rubble masonry 4.side protection 5.kulikadavu repair 6.plastering 7.step parapet wall 8.truss work 9.drain covering slab |
| 30 | CHITTUR- TATTAMANGALAM Capex (Cr.)=0.9045 O&M (Cr.)=0.1005 Total Cost (Cr.)=1.005 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF LANKESWARAM KULAM | 0.9045 | 0.1005 | 1.005 | 1. Cleaning vegitation 2. Bailing out water 3. Earthwork excavation 4. DR masonry 5. RCC top & intermediate belt |
| 31 | KOTTAYAM CAPEX (CR.)=0.4 O&M (CR.)=0.01 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.41 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION OF LAKSHMIPURAM THODU IN WARD 39 OF KOTTAYAM MUNICIPALITY | 0.4 | 0.01 | 0.41 | Deepening Side protection , Akadavu -construction drain construction |
| 32 | CHERPULASSERY CAPEX (CR.)=0.87 O&M (CR.)=0.08 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.95 | AMRUT2.0- RENNOVATION OF KODIYATHUKULAM (PUBLIC POND) IN WARD 25 OF CHERPULASSERY MUNICIPALITY | 0.73 | 0.08 | 0.81 | 1.Pond rennovation 2.Earth work excavation 3.Pumping out and bailing out 4.DR Masnory 5.Stainless steel handrail 6.Name board |
| 33 | IRINJALAKUDA CAPEX (CR.)=0.4 O&M (CR.)=0.05 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.45 | AMRUTH 2.0 REJUVENATION OF MANAKULAM WARD:30 | 0.4 | 0.05 | 0.45 | 1.Side protection and padav 2.Earth work 3.Clearing jungle 4.PVC Wheep hole 5.Handrail |
| 34 | IRINJALAKUDA CAPEX (CR.)=0.4 O&M (CR.)=0.05 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.45 | AMRUTH 2.0 REJUVENATION OF HANUMANKULAM WARD:33 | 0.4 | 0.05 | 0.45 | 1.Clearing Jungle 2.Earth work 3.Ring Bund-Side protection 4.DR Masnory |

| SI No. | ULB | Name of the work | Amount as Per DPR | | | Major Components as per DPR |
|--------|--|--|-------------------|-------|-------|--|
| 35 | IRINJALAKUDA CAPEX (CR.)=0.2 O&M (CR.)=0.05 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.25 | AMRUTH 2.0 REJUVINATION OF KATTAMBILLY KULAM IN WARD:1 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 1.Side Protection 2.Bailing out 3.Clearing Jungle 4.Earth works 5.DRM |
| 36 | MANJERI CAPEX (CR.)=0.4 O&M (CR.)=0.04 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.44 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION OF KOVILAKAMKUNDU DEVATHAKUTAMPO ND IN WARD-32 | 0.4 | 0.04 | 0.44 | 1.Earth work Excavation 2.DR Packing 3.Pumping and bailing out |
| 37 | MANJERI CAPEX (CR.)=0.45 O&M (CR.)=0.045 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.495 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF EDAMANA POND IN WARD 40 | 0.45 | 0.045 | 0.495 | 1.Bailing Out 2.Chequerred Tile 3.Retaining wall 4.Earth work 5.Pond deepening 6.Fencing 7.Handrail |
| 38 | MANJERI CAPEX (CR.)=0.1 O&M (CR.)=0.01 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.11 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF KIZHAKKEKUNNU SC COLONY PUMP HOUSE POND IN WARD 25 | 0.126 | 0.01 | 0.136 | 1.Earth work Excavation 2.DR Packing 3.Pumping and bailing out |
| 39 | MANJERI CAPEX (CR.)=0.8 O&M (CR.)=0.08 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.88 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF NADUKKAPPARA POND IN WARD 21 | 0.82 | 0.08 | 0.9 | 1.Bailing Out 2.Chequerred Tile 3.White washing 4.Earth work 5.Pond deepening 6.Handrail |
| 40 | MANJERI CAPEX (CR.)=0.05 O&M (CR.)=0.005 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.055 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF THURAKKAL ULLAS NAGAR POND IN WARD 39-MANJERI MUNICIPALITY | 0.05 | 0.005 | 0.055 | 1.DR Masnory 2.Berm pathway 3.Earth Work 4.Drainage 5.Bailing out |
| 41 | MANJERI CAPEX (CR.)=0.2 O&M (CR.)=0.02 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.22 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF THADAPRAMBU POND IN WARD-18 MANJERI MUNICPALITY | 0.242 | 0.02 | 0.262 | 1.RR Masnory 2.Handrail 3.Pumping and bailing out 4.Earth work |
| 42 | THRISSUR CAPEX (CR.)=0.7 O&M (CR.)=0.05 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.75 | AMRUT2.0 REJUVENATION OF KARAMUKKU KOLAM IN DIV 42 | 0.7 | 0.05 | 0.75 | 1.Dismanteling DR 2.Bailing out 3.Earth work 4.Silt Removal 5.Sidewall 6.Railings 7.Inter locking concrete pavement 8.Plantation |
| 43 | THRISSUR CAPEX (CR.)=0.5 O&M (CR.)=0.05 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.55 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION OF ATTAKULAM POND IN DIVISION-28 | 0.322 | 0.05 | 0.372 | 1.Clearing Jungle 2.Bailing out 3.Earth work 4.Dismanteling DR 5.Side kettu 6.DRM 7.Steel handrail 8.Fixing name board |

| SI No. | ULB | Name of the work | Amount as | Amount as Per DPR | | Major Components as per DPR |
|--------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------|-----------------------------|
| 44 | THRISSUR | AMRUT2.0- | 1 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 1.Clearing Jungle |
| | | REJUVENATION OF | | | | 2.Bailing out |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=1.75 | THRIKKUMARAKUDA | | | | 3.Earth work |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.1 | M KULAM | | | | 4.Dismanteling DR |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=1.85 | IN DIVISION -51 | | | | 5.Ringbund |
| | (= 1, | | | | | 6.DRM |
| 45 | THRISSUR | AMRUT2.0- | 0.9 | 0.05 | 0.95 | 1.Clearing Jungle |
| | | REJUVENATION OF | | | | 2.Bailing out |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.5 | PUTHANKULAM | | | | 3.Earth work |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.05 | IN DIVISION-36 | | | | 4.Dismanteling DR |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.55 | | | | | 5DRM |
| | (= 1, 111 | | | | | 6Steel handrail |
| | | | | | | 7.Fixing name board |
| | | | | | | , |
| 46 | THRISSUR | AMRUT2.0- | 0.1 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 1.Clearing |
| | | REJUVENATION OF | | | | 2.Bailing out |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.3 | PUTHANKULAM | | | | 3.Earth work |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.03 | DIVISION - 13 | | | | 4.DRM |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.33 | | | | | 5.Removing Silt |
| 47 | THRISSUR | AMRUT2.0 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 0.55 | 1.Clearing |
| | | REJUVENATION OF | | | | 2.Bailing out |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.5 | KAITHAKULAM | | | | 3.Earth work |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.05 | IN DIVISION-21 | | | | 4.DRM |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.55 | | | | | 5.Removing Silt |
| 48 | THRISSUR | AMRUT2.0- | 0.75 | 0.05 | 0.8 | 1.Clearing |
| | | REJUVENATION OF | | | | 2.Bailing out |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.7 | CHORAAKULAM | | | | 3.Earth work |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.05 | IN DIVISION-23 | | | | 4.DRM |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.75 | | | | | 5.Removing Silt |
| 49 | THRISSUR | AMRUT2.0- | 0.5 | 0.05 | 0.55 | 1.Clearing |
| | | REJUVENATION OF | | 0.00 | **** | 2.Bailing out |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.5 | AMBADIKULAM IN | | | | 3.Earth work |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.05 | DIVISION-49 | | | | 4.DRM |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.55 | | | | | 5.Removing Silt |
| | 101112 0001 (014) 0160 | | | | | 6.Side protection |
| 50 | PALAKKAD | AMRUT 2.0- | 0.1255 | 0.0125 | 0.138 | 1.Clearing |
| | | REJUVENATION OF | 0.1233 | 0.0123 | 0.150 | 2.Bailing out |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.125 | VARITHODU POND IN | | | | 3.Earth work |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.0125 | WARD-01 | | | | 4.DRM |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.1375 | PALAKKAD | | | | 5.Desilting |
| | 1011L 0001 (CM) 0.10/3 | MUNICIPALITY- | | | | - Contains |
| 51 | PALAKKAD | AMRUT 2.0 | 0.18 | 0.018 | 0.198 | 1.Clearing |
| | MARKET | REJUVENATION OF | 0.10 | 0.010 | 0.170 | 2.Bailing out |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.18 | VAIDHYANADHA | | | | 3.Earth work |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.018 | SWAMI POND IN | | | | 4.DRM |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.198 | WARD-06 PALAKKAD | | | | 5.Desilting |
| | 101AL COS1 (CR.)-0.198 | MUNICIPALITY | | | | 5.Desiring |
| 52 | PALAKKAD | AMRUT 2.0- | 0.49 | 0.049 | 0.539 | 1.Clearing |
| 32 | FALANNAU | | 0.49 | 0.049 | 0.339 | 2.Bailing out |
| | CAREV (CD.) A 40 | | | | | 2.Bailing out 3.Earth work |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.49 | THAMARAKULAM | | | | |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.049 | POND IN WARD 50- | | | | 4.DRM |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.539 | PALAKKAD | | | | 5.Desilting |
| 53 | DAT 147777 | MUNICIPALITY | 0.2 | 0.02 | 0.22 | 1.01 |
| 53 | PALAKKAD | AMRUT 2.0- | 0.2 | 0.02 | 0.22 | 1.Clearing |
| | G | REJUVENATION OF | | | | 2.Bailing out |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.2 | PARAKULAM POND | | | | 3.Earth work |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.02 | IN WARD-34 | | | | 4.DRM |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.22 | PALAKKAD | | | | 5.Desilting |
| | | MUNICIPALITY | | | | |

| SI No. | ULB | Name of the work | Amount as | Per DPR | | Major Components as per DPR |
|--------|---|---|-----------|---------|-------|--|
| 54 | PALAKKAD CAPEX (CR.)=0.3 O&M (CR.)=0.03 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.33 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF NURANI GRAMA KULAM IN WARD 39- PALAKKAD MUNICIPALITY | 0.3 | 0.03 | 0.33 | 1.Clearing 2.Bailing out 3.Earth work 4.DRM |
| 55 | PALAKKAD CAPEX (CR.)=0.2974 O&M (CR.)=0.0297 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.3271 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF NEYITHARAMBULLI POND IN WARD-10 PALAKKAD MUNICIPALITY | 0.2973 | 0.0297 | 0.327 | 1.Clearing 2.Bailing out 3.Earth work 4.DRM 5.Desilting |
| 56 | PALAKKAD CAPEX (CR.)=0.8 O&M (CR.)=0.08 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.88 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION OF NAMBIYAR POND IN WARD-37 PALAKKAD MUNICIPALITY | 0.8 | 0.08 | 0.88 | 1.Clearing 2.Bailing out 3.Earth work 4.DRM 5.Desilting |
| 57 | PALAKKAD CAPEX (CR.)=0.12 O&M (CR.)=0.012 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.132 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF MOOTHANTHARA KSHETHRAM POND IN WARD-49 PALAKKAD MUNICIPALITY | 0.12 | 0.012 | 0.132 | 1.Clearing 2.Bailing out 3.Earth work 4.DRM 5.Desilting 6.Handrail |
| 58 | PALAKKAD CAPEX (CR.)=0.287 O&M (CR.)=0.0287 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.3157 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF MANALANJERI POND IN WARD 36 – PALAKKAD MUNICIPALITY | 0.2873 | 0.0287 | 0.316 | 1.Clearing 2.Bailing out 3.Earth work 4.DRM 5.Desilting 6.Handrail |
| 59 | PALAKKAD CAPEX (CR.)=0.08 O&M (CR.)=0.008 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.088 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION OF KAYATTU POND IN WARD 38 - PALAKKAD MUNICIPALITY | 0.08 | 0.008 | 0.088 | 1.Clearing 2.Bailing out 3.Earth work 4.DRM 5.Desilting |
| 60 | PALAKKAD CAPEX (CR.)=0.394 O&M (CR.)=0.0358 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.4298 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION OF KARNAKIYAMMAN VALIYANGADI POND IN WARD-42 PALAKKAD MUNICIPALITY | 0.3582 | 0.0358 | 0.394 | 1.Clearing 2.Bailing out 3.Earth work 4.DRM 5.Desilting |
| 61 | PALAKKAD CAPEX (CR.)=0.3312 O&M (CR.)=0.0331 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.3643 | AMRUT- REJUVENATION OF ARDHANAREESHWA RAN POND IN WARD- 36 PALAKKAD MUNICIPALITY | 0.3309 | 0.0331 | 0.364 | 1.Clearing 2.Bailing out 3.Earth work 4.DRM 5.Desilting |
| 62 | NILAMBUR CAPEX (CR.)=0.25 O&M (CR.)=0.025 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.275 | AMRUT 2.0 FENCING AND BEAUTIFICATION OF CHOORAKKULAM NILAMBUR MUNICIPALITY IN WARD 19 | 0.25 | 0.025 | 0.275 | 1.Bathand Dressing room 2.Cleaning |
| 63 | NILAMBUR | AMRUT 2.0 FENCING AND | 0.15 | 0.015 | 0.165 | 1.Clearing 2.Bailing out |

| SI No. | ULB | Name of the work | Amount as | Per DPR | | Major Components as per DPR |
|--------|---|--|-----------|---------|---------------|---|
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.15 O&M (CR.)=0.015 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.165 | BEAUTIFICATION OF MANALODY POND NILAMBUR MUNICIPALITY IN WARD-22 | | | | 3.Earth work 4.DRM 5.Interlock 6.Surface dressing 7.Handrail |
| 64 | NILAMBUR CAPEX (CR.)=0.15 O&M (CR.)=0.015 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.165 | AMRUT 2.0 FENCING AND BEAUTIFICATION OF KADUVAKKULAM NILAMBUR MUNICIPALITY IN WARD-29 | 0.15 | 0.015 | 0.165 | 1.Clearing 2.Bailing out 3.Earth work 4.DRM 5.Handrail |
| 65 | NILAMBUR CAPEX (CR.)=0.15 O&M (CR.)=0.015 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.165 | AMRUT 2.0 FENCING AND BEAUTIFICATION OF THAMARAKULAM ANGANAVADI POND NILAMBUR MUNICIPALITY IN WARD-22 | 0.07 | 0.007 | 0.077 | 1.Clearing 2.Bailing out 3.Earth work 4.DRM 5.Parapett |
| 66 | NILAMBUR CAPEX (CR.)=0.15 O&M (CR.)=0.015 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.165 | AMRUT 2.0 FENCING AND BEAUTIFICATION OF THAMARAKULAM POND NILAMBUR MUNICIPALITY IN WARD-22 | 0.15 | 0.015 | 0.165 | 1.Surface dressing 2.DRM 3.Bailing out 4.Earthwork 5.Paving Tile 6.Cleaning |
| 67 | MUVATTUPUZHA CAPEX (CR.)=0.01 O&M (CR.)=0.0015 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.0115 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION OF WELL IN WARD 17 | 0.01 | 0.0015 | 0.0115 | 1.Earlh work in excavation 2.Pumping or Bailing out water 3.cleaning 4.Mesh 5.Plastering |
| 68 | MUVATTUPUZHA CAPEX (CR.)=0.01 O&M (CR.)=0.0015 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.0115 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION OF WELL AT WARD 24 | 0.0102601 | 0.0015 | 0.01176 01 | 1.Earlh work in excavation 2.Pumping or Bailing out water 3.cleaning 4.Mesh 5.Plastering |
| 69 | MUVATTUPUZHA CAPEX (CR.)=0.01 O&M (CR.)=0.0015 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.0115 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION OF WELL AT WARD 25 | 0.010005 | 0.0015 | 0.01150 | 1.Purnping or Bailing out water 2.Cleaning 3.Plastering and Painting 4.Earth work 5.Providing Mesh 6.Mesh |
| 70 | MUVATTUPUZHA CAPEX (CR.)=0.27 O&M (CR.)=0.0405 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.3105 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION OF MARADI KULAM AT WARD 20 | 0.276235 | 0.0405 | 0.31673 | 1.Purnping or Bailing out water 2.Cleaning 3.Plastering and Painting 4.Earth work 5.Providing Mesh 6.Mesh 7.DRM |
| 71 | MUVATTUPUZHA CAPEX (CR.)=0.3 O&M (CR.)=0.045 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.345 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION OF AMBATTUKULAM | 0.3407837 | 0.045 | 0.38578 37 | 1.Purnping or Bailing out water 2.Cleaning 3.Plastering and Painting 4.Earth work 5.Providing Mesh 6.DRM |

| SI No. | ULB | Name of the work | Amount as | Per DPR | | Major Components as per DPR |
|--------|--|--|-----------|---------------|------------------|--|
| 72 | MUVATTUPUZHA CAPEX (CR.)=0.28 O&M (CR.)=0.042 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.322 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION OF MANAKUDY POND | 0.28 | 0.042 | 0.322 | 1.Purnping or Bailing out water 2.Cleaning 3.Plastering and Painting 4.Earth work 5.Providing Mesh 6.DRM |
| 73 | MAVELIKKARA CAPEX (CR.)=0.168 O&M (CR.)=0.042 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.21 | AMRUT2.0 REJUVENATION OF KATTUVALLIL EARAAMTHOTTAM PALAM | 0.21 | 0.0042 | 0.2142 | 1.Marshy soil excavation 2.Cleaning 3.Bailing out 4.Rubble work pointing |
| 74 | MAVELIKKARA CAPEX (CR.)=0.5085 O&M (CR.)=0.145 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.6535 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION OF VELLOORKULAM IN WARD11 | 0.6535 | 0.01307 | 0.66657 | Cleaning Bailing out DR masonry with intermediate concrete belt Parapet wall with hand rail |
| 75 | MAVELIKKARA CAPEX (CR.)=0.1565 O&M (CR.)=0.03912 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.19562 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION OF KAAPPUKULAM IN WARD 15 | 0.19562 | 0.00391 24 | 0.19953 24 | 1. Earthwork 2. Cleaning 3. Bailing out 4. DR masonry with intermediate concrete belt 5. Parapet wall with stainless steel hand rail |
| 76 | MAVELIKKARA CAPEX (CR.)=0.233 O&M (CR.)=0.0525 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.2855 | AMRUT2.0- REJUVENATION OF KOTTATHODE IN WARD 10 | 0.2855 | 0.00571 | 0.29121 | 1.Earthwork 2.Cleaning 3.Bailing out 4.DR masonry 5.Concrete side wall 6.RCC covering slab |
| 77 | KANNUR CAPEX (CR.)=0.27 O&M (CR.)=0.003 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.273 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF CHALA TEMPLE POND IN KANNUR MUNICIPAL CORPORATION | 0.2016351 | 0.003 | 0.20463 51 | Clearing and grubbing road land Bailing out Rubble stone masonry Earthwork |
| 78 | KANNUR CAPEX (CR.)=0.21 O&M (CR.)=0.0025 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.2125 KANNUR | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF VALIYAKULAM IN KANNUR MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AMRUT 2.0 - | 0.140 | 0.00250 | 0.142 1.52040 | Clearing and grubbing road land Bailing out Rubble stone masonry Earthwork Clearing and grubbing road |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=1.98 O&M (CR.)=0.02 TOTAL COST (CR.)=2 | REJUVENATION OF KEEZHUNNA TEMPLE POND IN KANNUR MUNICIPAL CORPORATION | | | 61 | land 2. Bailing out 3. Earthwork 4. DR masonry |
| 80 | KANNUR CAPEX (CR.)=0.29 O&M (CR.)=0.005 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.295 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF CHETTIYAR KULAM IN KANNUR MUNICIPAL CORPORATION | 0.1787356 | 0.005 | 0.18373 56 | Clearing and grubbing road land Bailing out Earthwork |
| 81 | MANNARKKAD CAPEX (CR.)=0.13 O&M (CR.)=0.02 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.15 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF CHIRAKULAM POND IN MANNARKKAD MUNICIPALITY | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.15 | Earthwork Bailing out water Dismantling DR DR masonry Interlock |

| Sl No. | ULB | Name of the work | Amount a | Amount as Per DPR | | Major Components as per DPR |
|--------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|-------------------|-------|-----------------------------|
| 82 | MANNARKKAD | AMRUT 2.0- | 0.148 | 0.01 | 0.158 | 1. Earthwork |
| | | REJUVENATION OF | | | | 2. Bailing out water |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.25 | CHOMERI POND IN | | | | 3. Dismantling DR |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.01 | MANNARKKAD | | | | 4. DR masonry |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.26 | MUNICIPALITY | | | | 5.Interlock |
| 83 | MANNARKKAD | AMRUT 2.0- | 0.192 | 0.01 | 0.202 | 1. Earthwork |
| | | REJUVENATION OF | | | | 2. Bailing out water |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.25 | PAVADIKULAM POND | | | | 3. Dismantling DR |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.01 | IN MANNARKKAD | | | | 4. DR masonry |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.26 | MUNICIPALITY | | | | |
| 84 | PERINTHALMANNA | AMRUT 2.0- | 0.04 | 0.005 | 0.045 | 1. Earthwork |
| | | PERINTHALMANNA | | | | 2. DR masonry |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.04 | MUNICIPALITY - | | | | 3. Centering |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.005 | REJUVENATION OF | | | | 4. Pumping |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.045 | PARAKODE KULAM | | | | |
| | | WARD-13 GENERAL | | | | |
| | | CIVIL WORK | | | | |
| 85 | PERINTHALMANNA | AMRUT 2.0- | 0.04 | 0.004 | 0.044 | 1. Earthwork |
| | | PERINTHALMANNA | | | | 2. DR masonry |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.04 | MUNICIPALITY- | | | | 3. Centering |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.004 | ANAKUNDUMUNDAT | | | | 4. Pumping |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.044 | HA PALAM KULAM | | | | |
| | | WARD-4 GENERAL | | | | |
| | | CIIVIL WORK | | | | |
| 86 | PERINTHALMANNA | AMRUT 2.0- | 0.05 | 0.005 | 0.055 | 1. Earthwork |
| | | PERINTHALMANNA | | | | 2. DR masonry |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.05 | MUNICIPALITY- | | | | 3. Centering |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.005 | REJUVENATION OF | | | | 4. Pumping |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.055 | PONNIYAKURSSI | | | | |
| | | KULAM WARD-6 | | | | |
| | | GENERAL CIVIL | | | | |
| 0.7 | | WORK | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.5.1 |
| 87 | PERINTHALMANNA | AMRUT 2.0- | 0.08 | 0.008 | 0.088 | 1. Earthwork |
| | G. DTT. (GD.) 0.00 | PERINTHALMANNA | | | | 2. DR masonry |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.08 | MUNICIPALITY- | | | | 3. Centring |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.008 | REJUVENATION OF | | | | 4. Pumping |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.088 | KORAKULAM- | | | | |
| | | REJUVENATION OF | | | | |
| | | KORAKULAM IN | | | | |
| | | WARD-14 GENERAL CIVIL WORK | | | | |
| 88 | DEDINIPH AT NA ANNI A | | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 1. Earthwork |
| 88 | PERINTHALMANNA | AMRUT 2.0- PERINTHALMANNA | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.11 | |
| | CADEV (CD)=0.1 | MUNICIPALITY- | | | | 2. DR masonry |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.1 O&M (CR.)=0.01 | REJUVENATION OF | | | | 3. Centring 4. Pumping |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.11 | NARIYENGAL | | | | T. I umping |
| | 101AL COS1 (CR.)-0.11 | KULAM GENERAL | | | | |
| | | CIVIL WORK | | | | |
| 89 | PERINTHALMANNA | AMRUT 2.0- | 0.060 | 0.006 | 0.066 | 1. Earthwork |
| 67 | LIMITIALIMANIA | PERINTHALMANNA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2. DR masonry |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.06 | MUNICIPALITY - | | | | 3. Centring |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.006 | REJUVENATION OF | | | | 4. Pumping |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.066 | NELLIPADAM KULAM | | | | T. I umping |
| | 101AL COST (CR.)-0.000 | WARD-20 GENERAL | | | | |
| | | CIVIL WORK | | | | |
| | | CIVIL WORK | <u> </u> | | | |

| Sl No. | ULB | Name of the work | Amount as | Per DPR | | Major Components as per DPR |
|--------|---|---|-----------|---------|-------|---|
| 90 | PERINTHALMANNA CAPEX (CR.)=0.03 O&M (CR.)=0.005 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.035 | AMRUT 2.0 - PERINTHALMANNA MUNICIPALITY- REJUVENATION OF EANIPPARAKULAM WARD -34 GENERAL CIVIL WORK | 0.030 | 0.005 | 0.035 | 1. Earthwork 2. DR masonry 3. Centring 4. Pumping |
| 91 | PERINTHALMANNA CAPEX (CR.)=0.08 O&M (CR.)=0.001 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.081 | AMRUT 2.0 - PERINTHALMANNA MUNICIPALITY- REJUVENATION OF CHALIYAM KULAM WARD -7 GENERAL CIVIL WORK | 0.080 | 0.001 | 0.081 | 1. Earthwork 2. DR masonry 3. Centring 4. Pumping |
| 92 | PERINTHALMANNA CAPEX (CR.)=0.08 O&M (CR.)=0.008 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.088 | AMRUT2.0- PERINTHALMANNA MUNICIPALITY- REJUVENATION OF PUTHANKULAM WARD 5- GENERAL CIVIL WORK | 0.080 | 0.008 | 0.088 | 1. Earthwork 2. DR masonry 3. Centring 4. Pumping |
| 93 | FEROKE CAPEX (CR.)=0.25 O&M (CR.)=0.025 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.275 | AMRUT 2.0- AMRUT PROJECT- RENOVATION OF THULASSERI KULAM, DIV 16 GENERAL CIVIL WORK | 0.23 | 0.025 | 0.255 | Clearing jungle Pumping and bailing out water Earthwork excavation DR masonry Shuttering |
| 94 | FEROKE CAPEX (CR.)=0.25 O&M (CR.)=0.025 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.275 | AMRUT 2.0- AMRUT PROJECT- RENOVATION OF MOOTHEDATH KULAM, DIV 28 GENERAL CIVIL WORK | 0.23 | 0.025 | 0.255 | Clearing jungle Pumping and bailing out water Earthwork excavation DR masonry Shuttering |
| 95 | FEROKE CAPEX (CR.)=0.2 O&M (CR.)=0.02 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.22 | AMRUT 2.0- AMRUT PROJECT- RENOVATION OF MANGALASSERI KULAM- DIV 7 GENERAL CIVIL WORK | | 0.02 | 0.2 | Clearing jungle Pumping and bailing out water Earthwork excavation DR masonry Shuttering |
| 96 | KALPETTA CAPEX (CR.)=0.5 O&M (CR.)=0.01 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.51 | AMRUT 2.0- RENNOVATION OF POND AT TRENCHING GROUND- KALPETTA MUNICIPALITY- GENERAL CIVIL WORK | 0.5 | 0.01 | 0.51 | Excavation Pumping or bailing out Filling Supplying, fitting and placing |
| 97 | ANTHOOR CAPEX (CR.)=1.75 O&M (CR.)=0.015 TOTAL COST (CR.)=1.765 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF KUNJUKULAM POND IN ANTHOOR MUNICIPALITY | 1.615 | 0.15 | 1.765 | Pumping Earth work in excavation DR masonry Steel reinforcement for RCC Centring and shuttering |
| 98 | KODUNGALLUR CAPEX (CR.)=1.07 O&M (CR.)=0.1 TOTAL COST (CR.)=1.17 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF ARAKULAM IN KODUNGALLUR MUNICIPALITY | 1.077 | 0.09292 | 1.170 | Bailing out water Earthwork excavation Filling DR masonry Centring and shuttering |

| SI No. ULB N | | Name of the work | Amount as Per DPR | | | Major Components as per DPR | |
|--------------|--|---|-------------------|-------|---------------|--|--|
| 99 | SULTHAN BATHERY CAPEX (CR.)=0.4 O&M (CR.)=0.04 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.44 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENTATIOIN OF KUPPADI - PUTHUCHOLA POND IN SULTHAN BATHERY MUNICIPALITY | 0.400 | 0.01 | 0.410 | Earthwork excavation DR masonry Steel reinforcement for RCC work Centring and shuttering Pumping or bailing out water | |
| 100 | SULTHAN BATHERY CAPEX (CR.)=0.45 O&M (CR.)=0.045 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.495 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION WORKS TO KAITHAKKAD POND IN SULTHAN BATHERY MUNICIPALITY WAYANAD DISTRICT | 0.45 | 0.01 | 0.46 | DR masonry Steel reinforcement for RCC work Centring and shuttering Pumping or bailing out water | |
| 101 | CHANGANASSERY CAPEX (CR.)=0.6 O&M (CR.)=0.011 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.611 | AMRUT 2.0- CHANGANACHERY MUNICIPALITY- WARD 35- REJUVENATION OF THAMARAKULAM POND | 0.589 | 0.011 | 0.6 | Pumping or bailing out water Earthwork in excavation DR masonry Steel reinforcement for RCC work Centring and shuttering | |
| 102 | KARUNAGAPALLY CAPEX (CR.)=0.12 O&M (CR.)=0.012 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.132 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF NAGARI POND IN DIV 19 OF KARUNAGAPPALLY MUNICIPALITY | 0.12 | 0.012 | 0.132 | Pumping or bailing out water Earthwork excavation Dismantling DR DR masonry Centring and shuttering Steel reinforcement for RCC work | |
| 103 | KARUNAGAPALLY CAPEX (CR.)=0.12 O&M (CR.)=0.012 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.132 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF SHASTHANADA POND IN DIV 22 OF KARUNAGAPPALLY MUNICIPALITY | 0.12 | 0.012 | 0.0132 | Pumping or bailing out water Earthwork excavation Dismantling DR DR masonry Centring and shuttering Steel reinforcement for RCC work | |
| 104 | KARUNAGAPALLY CAPEX (CR.)=0.12 O&M (CR.)=0.012 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.132" | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF MAMPOZHIL POND IN DIV 2 KARUNAGAPPALLY MUNICIPALITY | 0.12 | 0.012 | 0.132 | Pumping or bailing out water Earthwork excavation Dismantling DR DR masonry Centring and shuttering Steel reinforcement for RCC work | |
| 105 | KARUNAGAPALLY CAPEX (CR.)=0.09 O&M (CR.)=0.009 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.099 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF VALIYATH POND IN DIV 26 KARUNAGAPPALLY MUNICIPALITY | 0.087 | 0.012 | 0.099 | Pumping or bailing out water Earthwork excavation Dismantling DR DR masonry Centring and shuttering Steel reinforcement for RCC work | |
| 106 | KOOTHATTUKULAM CAPEX (CR.)=0.5 O&M (CR.)=0.025 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.525 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVANATION OF VENKULAM WATER BODY | 0.5 | 0.025 | 0.525 | Earthwork excavation Bailing out water DR masonry Centring and shuttering | |
| 107 | CHENGANNUR CAPEX (CR.)=0.18 O&M (CR.)=0.04 TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.22 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF THITTAMEL TEMPLE POND IN CHENGANNUR MUNICIPALITY | 0.0271308 | 0.04 | 0.06713 08 | Filling Providing & laying Providing & fixing handrail Painting | |

| SI No. | ULB | Name of the work | Amount as Per DPR | | | Major Components as per DPR |
|--------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|---------|--|
| 108 | CHENGANNUR | AMRUT 2.0- | 0.3438112 | 0.006 | 0.34981 | 1. Earthwork in excavation |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.12 | REJUVENATION OF | | | 12 | 2. DR masonry |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.006 | KODIYATTUKARA | | | | Rec work Providing & fixing handrail |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.126 | DWANWATHARI TEMPLEPOND | | | | 5. Painting |
| 109 | CHENGANNUR | AMRUT 2.0- | 0.258842 | 0.005 | 0.26384 | 1. Earthwork in excavation |
| 10) | CAPEX (CR.)=0.03 | REJUVENATION OF | 0.2000.2 | 0.002 | 2 | 2. Rcc work |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.005 | BHADRESWARAM | | | | 3. Steel reinforcement for RCC |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.035 | TEMPLE POND IN | | | | work |
| | | CHENGANNUR | | | | 4. Providing & fixing handrail |
| 110 | CHENCANNUD | MUNICIPALITY | 0.7114200 | 0.04 | 0.7514 | 5. Painting |
| 110 | CAPEY (CD.)=0.4 | AMRUT 2.0- REJUVENATION OF | 0.7114299 | 0.04 | 0.7514 | Earthwork in excavation DR masonry |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.4 O&M (CR.)=0.04 | VALIYA KUALATHIN | | | | 3. RCC work in walls |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.44 | PATTUKULAM | | | | 4. Steel reinforcement for RCC |
| 111 | PATHANAMTHITTA | AMRUT 2.0- ARABIC | 0.1102 | 0.05 | 0.1602 | 1. Providing and laying |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.25 | COLLEGE POND | | | | 2. Painting |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.05 | RENOVATION IN | | | | 3. Bailing out water |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.3 | WARD-14 - AMRUTH | | | | 4. Sidewall |
| | | PROJECT- GENERAL | | | | 5. Construction of embankment |
| 112 | PATHANAMTHITTA | CIVIL WORK AMRUT 2.0- | 0.75 | 0.02 | 0.77 | 1. Excavation |
| 112 | CAPEX (CR.)=0.73 | SUBALAPARK 2.0- | 0.73 | 0.02 | 0.77 | 2. DR masonry |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.02 | RENOVATION - WARD | | | | 3. Supplying, fitting & placing |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.75 | 4- GENERAL CIVIL | | | | 4. Construction of embankment |
| | , | WORK | | | | |
| 113 | PERINTHALMANNA | AMRUT 2.0- | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 1. Earthwork in excavation |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.1 | PERINTHALMANNA | | | | 2. DR masonry |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.01 | MUNICIPALITY- | | | | 3. Centring& shuttering |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.11 | MELECHOLAKULAM WARD-13- GENERAL | | | | 4. Pumping out water |
| | | CIVIL WORK | | | | |
| 114 | PERINTHALMANNA | AMRUT 2.0- | 0.03 | 0.005 | 0.035 | 1. Earthwork in excavation |
| | CAPEX (CR.)=0.03 | PERINTHALMANNA | | | | 2. DR masonry |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.005 | MUNICIPALITY- | | | | 3. Centring& shuttering |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.035 | REJUVENATION OF | | | | 4. Pumping out water |
| | | ASARIKULAM- | | | | |
| | | GENERAL CIVIL WORK | | | | |
| 115 | PERINTHALMANNA | AMRUT 2.0- | 0.04 | 0.005 | 0.045 | 1. Earthwork in excavation |
| 113 | CAPEX (CR.)=0.04 | PERINTHALMANNA | 0.01 | 0.005 | 0.013 | 2. DR masonry |
| | O&M (CR.)=0.005 | MUNICIPALITY- | | | | 3. Centring& shuttering |
| | TOTAL COST (CR.)=0.045 | REJUVENATION OF | | | | 4. Pumping out water |
| | | CHERUKULAM | | | | |
| | | WARD-15- GENERAL | | | | |
| 116 | | CIVIL WORK | 0.054 | 0.002 | 0.057 | 1 Fouthwest |
| 116 | ETTUMANOOR Capex (Cr.)=0.054 | AMRUT 2.0- WATERBODY | 0.054 | 0.002 | 0.056 | Earthwork excavation RR masonry |
| | O&M (Cr.)=0.002 | REJUVENATION - | | | | 3. Laying |
| | Total Cost (Cr.)=0.056 | RENOVATION OF | | | | 4. Bailing out water |
| | , , | RAJEEV GANDHI | | | | 5. Steel work |
| | | COLONY POND IN | | | | |
| | | WARD 2 | | | | |
| 117 | ETTUMANOOR | AMRUT 2.0- WATER | 0.03 | 0.0015 | 0.0315 | 1. Earthwork excavation |
| | Capex (Cr.)=0.03 | BODY | | | | 2. Removing boulders & mud |
| | O&M (Cr.)=0.0015 Total Cost (Cr.)=0.0315 | REJUVENATION- RENOVATION OF | | | | 3. Bailing out water4. Repairing ornamental posts |
| | 1 01a1 C081 (Cr.)=0.0313 | CHIRAKULAM IN | | | | 4. Acpairing ornamental posts |
| | | WARD 32 | | | | |
| | | WARD J2 | | <u> </u> | l | |

| SI No. | ULB | Name of the work | Amount as | Per DPR | | Major Components as per DPR |
|--------|---|---|-----------|---------|--------|--|
| 118 | ETTUMANOOR Capex (Cr.)=0.159 O&M (Cr.)=0.005 Total Cost (Cr.)=0.164 | AMRUT 2.0- WATERBODY REJUVENATION- RENOVATION OF KUREECHIRA POND IN WARD 1 | 0.139 | 0.005 | 0.144 | Laying DR masonry Bailing out water Steel reinforcement for RCC |
| 119 | ETTUMANOOR Capex (Cr.)=0.04 O&M (Cr.)=0.002 Total Cost (Cr.)=0.042 | AMRUT 2.0- WATER BODY REJUVENATION - CLEANING & PROTECTION (GRILL WORK) OF DRINKING WATER POND IN WARD 32 | 0.04 | 0.002 | 0.042 | Earthwork excavation Bailing out water Solid masonry Steel work Painting |
| 120 | ETTUMANOOR Capex (Cr.)=0.5 O&M (Cr.)=0.05 Total Cost (Cr.)=0.55 | AMRUT 2.0- WATERBODY REJUVENATION- KANIKULAM MAINTENANCE IN WARD 9 | 0.39 | 0.05 | 0.44 | Bailing out water Earthwork excavation DR masonry Steel reinforcement for RCC Side protection |
| 121 | PATTAMBI Capex (Cr.)=0.3 O&M (Cr.)=0.015 Total Cost (Cr.)=0.315 | AMRUT 2.0- AMRUT SAROVAR MISSION - REJUVENATION OF WATERBODIES IN PATTAMBI MUNICIPALITY- MELEKULAM- GENERAL CIVIL WORK | 0.27 | 0.03 | 0.3 | Pumping or bailing out water Centring and shuttering Steel reinforcement for RCC work |
| 122 | PATTAMBI Capex (Cr.)=0.15 O&M (Cr.)=0.0075 Total Cost (Cr.)=0.1575 | AMRUT 2.0- AMRUT SAROVAR MISSION - REJUVENATION OF WATER BODIES IN PATTAMBI 1MUNICIPALITY-PERUMALKULAM-GENERAL CIVIL WORK | 0.384 | 0.01 | 0.394 | Clearing jungle Demolishing stone rubble masonry Pumping or bailing out water Earthwork in excavation DR packing |
| 123 | PATTAMBI Capex (Cr.) =0.15 O&M (Cr.) =0.0075 Total Cost (Cr.) =0.1575 | AMRUT 2.0- AMRUT SAROVAR MISSION - REJUVENATION OF WATER BODIES IN PATTAMBI MUNICIPALITY- KOTTOLIKULAM-GENERAL CIVIL WORK | 0.283 | 0.01 | 0.293 | Clearing jungle Pumping or bailing out water Earthwork excavation DR masonry Centering and shuttering |
| | TOTAL | | 41.404 | 3.055 | 44.459 | |

Decision taken:

The Project-wise details were discussed in detail by the committee and recommended to place the following to the SHPSC:

- **❖** Issuance of Administrative Sanction for 123projects for Rejuvenation of Water Bodies proposed amounting to Rs. 44.459 Cr. (CAPEX − Rs.41.404 Cr. & OPEX − Rs.3.055 Cr.)
- The over and above the SAAP amount shall be borne by the ULBs

- **ULBs** were directed to issue the TS after ensuring the following;
 - > The projects should be geotagged and uploaded on the AMRUT portal for monitoring and evaluation purposes.
 - > The projects should not utilize any other Central/State fund for the project components that are already included in the AMRUT scheme.
 - > The projects should ensure that the benefits of water body conservation and rejuvenation, including temple pond rejuvenation, reach the public and improve their quality of life. A certificate in this regard shall be provided by the ULB.
 - > The projects should display an AMRUT project information board on the side of the ponds with details of funding, implementing agency, timeline, etc.
 - > Technical viability, land availability, justified provisions & rates, and mandatory clearances.
 - > The projects should adopt all possible and environmentally friendly methods to conserve water bodies, such as geotextile and turfing, to prevent soil erosion and water loss.
 - > The projects that are difficult to implement due to any reason should be reported to SMMU at the outset so that funds can be diverted to other feasible projects.
 - > The water bodies that have been constructed or renovated using other funds in the last ten years should not be included in the AMRUT scheme.
 - > The ULB should ensure the approval of the Municipal Council before implementing the scheme.
 - > The projects should include only admissible components as per AMRUT guidelines and provide for 5-year Operation & Maintenance of the water bodies after completion.
- **❖** ULBs were directed to ensure the non-inclusion of Operation & Maintenance costs, and Value of land/structures required for the project development under Central Assistance. If the said components are inevitable, the cost for the same may be met from the State/ ULB fund-

Agenda Item No.8.7: REPLACEMENT OF WATER SUPPLY PROJECT IN KOCHI CORPORATION APPROVED UNDER THE 1ST TRANCHE OF SWAP:

Kochi Corporation has submitted 26 water supply projects for an amount of Rs. 163.75 Cr under the 1st and 2nd tranches of SWAP.

One of the projects under SWAP was the construction of a new Overhead Service Reservoir (OHSR) of 15 lakh liter capacity at the Fortkochi tank site for CAPEX Rs.4 Cr. This project was approved by Kochi Municipal Corporation Council on 21/06/2022 based on the recommendation of Kerala Water Authority PH Division Kochi. However, during a subsequent inspection by KWA, it was found that the proposed site did not have enough space to accommodate the new OHSR.

Amrut Core Committee and Kochi Corporation decided to replace this project with another project-the construction of a 5 lakh liter capacity Ground Level Service Reservoir (GLSR), pump house, and supply and installation of pump set at Edakochi OHSR for CAPEX Rs.2.496 Cr. This project was recommended by the Corporation Council on 28.02.2023. The new GLSR will complement the ongoing construction of a 13 lakh liter capacity OHSR at Edakochi under AMRUT 1st phase and will ensure smooth and efficient water supply to the area.

| Decision taken: | | | |
|------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | |

The Committee approved the Replacement of the project - Construction of a new OHSR of 15 lakh liter capacity at the Fort Kochi tank site for CAPEX Rs.4 Cr with the 5LL CAPACITY GLSR (Ground Level Service Reservoir), pump house, and supply and installation of pump set at Edakochi OHSR for CAPEX Rs.2.496 Cr.

Agenda Item No.8.8: O&M STRATEGY FOR STPS PROPOSED BY KWA UNDERAMRUT:

The 2nd SHPSC decided that KWA will bear the O & M costs for projects implemented by KWA. In the 6th SLTC (Agenda No.4), KWA agreed to bear the O & M costs for water supply projects as they collect water charges. However, they requested that ULB may bear the O & M costs for STPs to avoid a financial burden on KWA. They also requested that the same arrangement for Muttathara STP in Trivandrum, where ULB pays for O & M, may be followed for the STPs proposed under AMRUT-2.0.

The 6th SLTC had directed KWA, TVM ULB & AMRUT to prepare 2 or 3 business models for long-term O & M sustainability for all the cities based on the financial situations of cities, prevailing Tariff, and user-cost recovery (financial) strategy.

The MD of KWA has now proposed three options for meeting the O & M costs of the STPs under AMRUT-2. 0..

(Current sewer charges is 11.02% of water charges and O & M Charges considered by KWA for calculation is Rs.20/KLD)

The options are:

- 1) Increase of Sewer Charges to 50% of Water Charges 65% of O & M expenses can be met.
- 2) Increase of Sewer Charges to 70% of Water Charges 85% of O & M expenses can be met.
- 3) Increase of Sewer Charges to 90% of Water Charges 100% of O & M expenses can be met.

The letter no. KWA/ JB/ PIU2/ 10/ JJM-URBAN/ 2021 PART III dated 13.04.2023 contains the details of these options.

Decision taken:

- ➤ The Committee reviewed the current Operation & Maintenance plan for Muttathara STP and the suggested strategies.
- > The Committee instructed KWA to calculate and report the revenue recovery based on the latest approved rates of Sewer charges to the Committee.

Agenda Item No.8.9: <u>STATUS OF SELECTION OF PROJECT DEVELOPMENT</u> AND MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS (PDMC):

Vide G.O. (Rt) No. 565/ 2022/ LSGD dated 09.03.2022, Government has entrusted the Centre for Management Development (CMD) to invite RFPs for Project Development and Management Consultant (PDMC) for AMRUT 2.0. Subsequently, tenders were invited and 6 proposals were received.

The 6^{th} SLTC Meeting approved the Technical Evaluation report of PDMC and sanction was given for the opening and evaluation of the financial bid of four eligible bidders. Accordingly, Price bids were opened in the 4^{th} Consultant Selection Committee of PDMC held on 04.01.2023.

The Financial bids received were as follows:

| Name of bidder | FIN 3- Remuneration (Rs.) | FIN-4- Reimbursable expenses (Rs.) | TOTAL (Rs.) |
|--|---------------------------|--|-----------------|
| Shah Technical Consultants Pvt. Ltd. In JV with Centre for Environment and Development | ', ' ', ' ', ' ' ' | 1,00,00,000.00 | 5,44,44,447.00 |
| WAPCOS Ltd. In JV with All Kerala Orphans Welfare Association | 5,31,53,850.00 | 9,65,58,000.00 | 14,97,11,850.00 |
| URS Scott Wilson India Pvt. Ltd. In JV with AECOM India Pvt. Ltd. And SHREYAS | 8,84,13,317.55 | 5,23,60,000.00 | 14,07,73,317.55 |
| Ernst & Young LLP in JV with Water, Sanitation and Hygiene Institute (WASH Institute) | | 31,02,750.00 | 10,03,52,025.00 |

The 4th SHPSC analyzed the present status of the activities to be carried out by the selected PDMC and suggested taking a relook at the decision for appointing a Project Development and Management Consultant (PDMC) for AMRUT 2.0 since a substantial quantum of work has been completed and also considering the high quotes of the bidders.

The major assessment of the Consultant Selection Committee are as follows;

- 1. Bidder with the highest technical score of 100 points (M/s. Shah Technical Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (in JV with Centre for Environment and Development)) had to be rejected during the financial evaluation and that the price bid quoted by the first ranked (H1) bidder *as per tender condition* is nearly twice the same quoted by M/s. Shah Technical Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (in JV with Centre for Environment and Development).
- 2. Significant quantum of works supposed to be carried out by the PDMC has already been completed. In this backdrop, the Committee recommended for conducting financial negotiations with the H1 bidder, in line with the conditions laid down in the RFP document (Clause 16 in Part I of Section 2 of the RFP document), based on the revised scope of work and keeping the 70 percent of average lump sum remuneration cost calculated as baseline price.
- 3. The reimbursable and contingency expense quoted by the first ranked (H1) bidder is very high compared to the same quoted by the second ranked (H2) bidder. Hence, the Committee recommended that, in the best interests of AMRUT 2.0 Kerala, financial negotiations shall be made with the H1 bidder with regards to the reimbursable quoted also, and the work shall be awarded to the H1 bidder ONLY if the H1 bidder is willing to undertake the assignment with reimbursable at the rate quoted by the H2 bidder.
- 4. If the H1 bidder is not willing to undertake the assignment in the revised rate of reimbursable and contingency expenses as in the recommendation above, AMRUT 2.0 Kerala shall opt for the re-tendering process.

The 7^{th} SLTC meeting decided to place the above recommendation of the Tender Evaluation Committee to the SHPSC with the following recommendations:

- The strengthening of in-house experts by forming district units and appointing experts in SMMU and CMMUs is in progress which could replace the functions of a PDMC. This may be given preference to on boarding PDMC.
- If SHPSC decides to proceed with the PDMC selection, the conditions prescribed by the evaluation committee may be followed.

Further, it has been suggested by the Government to submit the list of agencies identified by the Consultant Selection Committee before SHPSC for consideration. Subsequently, based on the discussions held with the ACS, LSGD, as per the request, the bidder who was under active consideration, M/s. URS Scott Wilson India Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s. AECOM India Pvt. Ltd initially quoted Rs. 14,07,73,317.55 had later reduced it to Rs. 13,45,84,385.32.

However, this was still considered unacceptable as the Consultant Selection Committee had recommended that if the H1 bidder is not willing to undertake the assignment at the revised rate of reimbursable and contingency expenses at the rate quoted by the H2 bidder (Rs.31,02,750.00), we should re-tender the service of PDMC.

As per the method of selection given in the Datasheet (Part II of Section 2 of the RFP document), commercial bids less than 70 percent cost of the average lump sum remuneration cost had to be disqualified. Accordingly, the bidder with the highest technical score of 100 points had to be rejected during the financial evaluation. The price bid quoted by the first ranked (H1) bidder is nearly twice the same quoted by the bidder with the highest technical score and it would result in a financial loss if the H1 bidder is awarded the contract at their negotiated rate.

The matter was brought to the notice of the Government and it was decided to cancel the current tender process for the selection of PDMC for AMRUT 2.0 projects considering the recommendation of the Consultant Selection Committee, and as the scope of the work has now significantly changed.

The current tender process has been cancelled vide cancellation notice of CMD dated 19.4.2023 and the revised RFP to re-tender the service of PDMC after revising the scope of work and modifying the arbitrary RFP conditions is under process.

The revised scope of work broadly includes;

- a) Preparation of DPRs for 24X7 Water supply projects.
- b) Preparation of digital base maps for the existing, proposed, and executed Sewerage networks.
- c) Action Plan for Reduction of Non-Revenue Water (below 20%).
- d) Preparation of Aquifer Management plan.
- e) Preparation of the Micro water supply projects and assistance to ULBs in developing Sewerage & Septage projects, IEC activities, and community mobilization.

Decision taken:

- > The Committee decided to approve the cancellation of the ongoing tender process of PDMC after detailed deliberation.
- > The committee reviewed the revised scope of works of PDMC and suggested for incorporating the task of preparation of DPRs for Sewerage & Septage projects in AMRUT cities.
- > The Committee instructed the Mission Director AMRUT to prepare the revised scope of work and the revised RFP for the PDMC and to seek approval from the Government for retendering.

Agenda Item No.8.10:24X7 WATER SUPPLY WITH DRINK FROM TAP FACILITY- RECONSTITUTION OF STATE-LEVEL TASK FORCE& CITY LEVEL TASK FORCE.

As per the AMRUT-2.0 guidelines, AMRUT cities are mandated to undertake reforms for water conservation including projects on 24x7 water supply with a drink-from-tap facility. These projects should cover at least one ward or DMA with at least 2,000 households in a contiguous manner.

Ministry of Housing & Urban Affairs has constituted a National Level Task Force and the Government of Kerala has constituted a State & City Level Task Force, on 24X7 water supply systems for undertaking Capacity Building of States/ULBs to disseminate knowledge, best practices, guidelines on 24X7 water supply and to assist in the planning, design, and implementation of projects under AMRUT 2.0 on a long-term basis.

During the meeting held with KWA officials on 29/3/23, for increasing the expertise of the Task forces, it was suggested for including Deputy Chief Engineer, PIU-2 in the State Level Task Force and the Executive Engineer, KWA district office, and a GIS Expert in the City Level Task Force.

The roles and responsibilities of the task forces are detailed in the guidelines.

Decision taken:

- > The Committee recommended for the reconstitution of the State Level Task Force on 24X7 water supply systems with the inclusion of the Deputy Chief Engineer (Projects) as below.
 - 1) Technical Member, Kerala Water Authority- Chairman
 - 2) Deputy Mission Director, AMRUT Mission- Member
 - 3) Chief Engineer, Projects & Operations, Kerala Water Authority- Member
 - 4) Chief Engineer Irrigation and Administration Member
 - 5) Head of the Department (Civil Engineering), CET, Trivandrum Member
 - 6) Additional Director (General) Industrial Department Member
 - 7) Chief Engineer, Local Self Government Department. Member
 - 8) Chief Engineer, Ground Water Department- Member
 - 9) Deputy Chief Engineer, PIU-2: Kerala Water Authority- Member
 - 10) GIS Expert/Hydraulic Expert, Centre for Water Resources and Management (CWRDM), Kozhikode- Member
 - 11) Executive Engineer, O/o Chief Engineer, Projects Kerala Water Authority- Member Secretary and Coordinator
 - 12) Representative from NGO included in the list of Jal Jeevan Mission project Implementation Supporting Agencies of Kerala- Member
- ➤ The Committee recommended for the reconstitution of the City Level Task Force on 24X7 water supply systems with the inclusion of the Executive Engineer, KWA district office, and a GIS expert as below.
 - 1) Superintending Engineer, District Office, Kerala Water Authority -Chairman
 - 2) Corporation/Municipal Engineer Member
 - 3) Executive Engineer, District Office, Kerala Water Authority- Member
 - 4) Executive Engineer, Irrigation and Administration Member
 - 5) Head of the Department (Civil Engineering), from Local Member Engineering Colleges in the ULBs-Member
 - 6) Electrical/Mechanical Engineer- KSEB, PWD -Member
 - 7) General Manager, District Industries Centre- Member
 - 8) Town Planner, District Town Planning Office- Member
 - 9) Assistant Executive Engineer, District Office, Kerala Water Authority-Member Secretary, and Coordinator
 - 10) A representative from an NGO working in the district.
 - 11) GIS/ SCADA Expert Member

Agenda Item No. 8.11: <u>APPROVAL TO HIRE ADDITIONAL SPACE FOR SMMU, AMRUT</u>

The State Mission Management Unit (SMMU) of AMRUT is currently operating in a limited space of 260 sqm. However, with the launch of AMRUT 2.0, SMMU needs to expand its staff strength and activities to implement urban development projects effectively. Moreover, the CTP has requested a separate space for GIS mapping work, which is essential for planning and monitoring the AMRUT subschemes. The existing conference hall is also inadequate for conducting meetings and workshops with various stakeholders. Therefore, the SMMU has proposed to hire an additional office space of 202 sqm in the same building where it is presently located to accommodate additional space demanded by the staff of CTP for AMRUT work, new environmental experts/interns of SMMU and to provide an additional conference hall.

This proposal was discussed in the 6th SLTC meeting and the Mission Director AMRUT was instructed to submit the financial details of the rent and other expenses to the next Committee meeting after getting them verified by the Chief Engineer LSGD. As per the verification report of the CE, LSGD, the additional office space would cost Rs. 48680/pm (240.77/sqm) as rent.

The owner of the building has quoted Rs.430/sqm as rent and 18% GST would be applicable on it. The total rent amount would be Rs.1,03,840/ PM. A negotiation meeting was conducted on 11.04.2023 and the owner of the building reduced her quote as follows;

| a | Rent Rate after negotiation | @ Rs.35/sqft (Rs.376.6/sq.m) plus applicable GST |
|---|--|---|
| b | Rent per month for 202sqm= 202 sqm @ Rs.376.6/m ² | Rs.76073.00 |
| С | GST (CGST9%+SGST9%) @18% | Rs 13693.00 |
| d | Grand total (b+c) | Rs.89, 766/Month |

- ❖ Electricity, Water, and MMC (Monthly Maintenance Charges) are extra payable by Lessee as applicable.
- * Two Year period first agreement with 3 months' rent as advance.
- * Every two years 10% enhancement on mutual agreement. This increment of 10% is applicable from the beginning of the 3rd year of the contract.
- ***** Two Car Parking Spaces will be allotted.
- ❖ For modification/alteration one month rent-free at the beginning of the contract.

The current rent rate of the SMMU building is Rs. 387.36 / sqm with the monthly rent

as Rs.1,18,944 /-. Considering the urgency of the situation and the availability of suitable office space in the same building, it is requested that the SLTC may kindly approve the proposal to hire an additional 202 sqm of space for the SMMU at the negotiated rate of Rs.35/Sqft (Rs.376.6/sqm) as the owner is not willing to reduce it further.

Deliberation:

The Mission Director AMRUT presented the details of the office space requirement for SMMUAMRUT. He said that the current office space is 2800 sqft, which has a record room of 960 sq. feet for storing files of various urban development projects viz; KSUDP, JnNURM, UDSSMT. The SMMU has about 30 staff members working on AMRUT. As per the estimate, a total of 4961 Sq.feet office space would be required for the SMMU.

The ACS LSGD suggested that Space requirement as per the PWD norms shall be estimated and reported.

Regarding the rent rate, Mission Director AMRUT mentioned that the current rent rate of the SMMU building is Rs. 387.36 / Sqm, which amounts to Rs.1,18,944 /- per month. The owner of the new office building has offered a rent rate of Rs.376.6 / Sqm, which amounts to Rs.89,766 /- per month. The representative of Finance department concurred with the rate.

Decision taken:

- The Committee instructed the Mission Director AMRUT to estimate the space requirements as per the PWD norms and report to the next SLTC.
- ➤ the Committee recommended the rent rate of Rs.376.6 / Sqm, which amounts to Rs.89,766 /- per month. for the additional space of 202 sq m for SMMU in the same building where SMMU is working and also to arrange the necessary modification works.

Agenda Item No.8.12: <u>SANCTION TO PROVIDE TRAVEL ALLOWANCES FOR</u> THE CITY MISSION MANAGEMENT UNIT STAFF.

For the AMRUT-1st phase, City Mission Management units were manned with two technical persons in 9 AMRUT cities. With the launch of AMRUT 2.0 in 93 Urban Local Bodies, the CMMUs were expanded as district-level units.

CMMUs will be in charge of a variety of tasks, including organizing IEC programs for project execution and monitoring works in all municipalities that come under a district.

CMMU staff will have to travel along the Municipalities and Corporations to coordinate with the ULBs, Contractors, Consultants, and other Stakeholders involved in the AMRUT projects and also to conduct site inspections, quality checks, progress reviews, and grievance redressal of the AMRUT projects.

As such, local travel allowance to staff for visiting sites as part of their official duties may have to be provided. A maximum allowance of Rs. 7000 may be given monthly based on the travel claim form and Fortnightly progress report to avail of the allowance. This will enable the CMMU staff to travel to any project site and get a quick assessment of the field conditions. The traveling report shall provide a summary of the activities and outcomes of the visit and travel shall be as per the prevailing Govt. norms. An expenditure of a maximum of Rs.21 lakhs is anticipated per year. This can be met from the A&OE fund.

The expenditure in this regard can be met from the A&OE fund.

Deliberation:

The Mission Director AMRUT explained that the CMMU staff will have to travel along the Municipalities and Corporations to coordinate with the ULBs, Contractors, Consultants, and other Stakeholders involved in the AMRUT projects. For AMRUT 1.0, vehicles were hired for 9 AMRUT 1.0 cities. Now the CMMUs were expanded as district-level units. Hiring of vehicles at each districts may be expensive. Hence instead of that CMMUs at district-level units may be allowed to use their own vehicles and a conveyance allowance of Rs. 7000 may be sanctioned. The expenditure in this regard can be met from the A&OE fund.

The Finance department agreed with the same.

Decision taken:

The Committee accordedsanction to provide conveyance allowances of a maximum of Rs. 7000 monthly to CMMU staff based on the Fortnightly progress report to avail of the allowance as per the prevailing Govt. norms and recommend the same to SHPSC.

Agenda Item No.8.13: APPROVAL TO ENGAGE GIS/SCADA EXPERTS ON CALL FOR 24X7 WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM& **SEWERAGE PROJECTS**;

As per the AMRUT-2.0 guidelines, AMRUT cities are mandated to undertake reforms for water conservation including projects on 24x7 water supply with a drink-from-tap facility. These projects should cover at least one ward or DMA with at least 2,000 households in a contiguous manner. One of the key components of this scheme is the use of GIS and SCADA technologies for mapping, monitoring, and managing the water infrastructure.

Hence it is essential to have GIS and SCADA experts on call to provide technical support and guidance to the ULBs and other stakeholders involved in the implementation of the scheme. Therefore, one GIS expert and one SCADA expert on call at the rates sanctioned for Sewerage/Septage Management as per G.O.(Rt)No.1883/2020/LSGD may be approved as follows;

| Sl No. | Years of Experience | Remuneration/ Day | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | More than 10 years of experience in GIS/SCADA. | Rs. 4000/day- subject to a maximum of Rs. 40000/ month | | | |

The GIS and SCADA experts will be responsible for:

- Developing and updating GIS maps of water supply networks in the selected wards for 24X7/ sewerage networks in the ULBs
- Assistance to KWA/ ULB in preparing GIS maps for all the existing, proposed, and executed infrastructure/ networks of water supply/ Sewerage in the entire area of ULBs.
- Providing training and capacity building to ULB staff on GIS and SCADA applications.
- Supervising and verifying the geo-tagging of assets and progress reports.
- Troubleshooting and resolving any issues related to GIS and SCADA systems
- > Coordinating with SMMU, CMMU, KWA, ULBs, and other agencies for data sharing and integration.

Decision taken:

> The Committee granted permission to the Mission Director AMRUT toengage one GIS expert and one SCADA expert with more than 10 years of experience in GIS/SCADA at Rs. 4000/day- subject to a maximum of Rs. 40000/ month on call.

faeadal

Mission Director, AMRUT

Additional Chief Secretary (Chairperson, ISLaT Se) f Government Department

Government Secretariat