

K-14012/180/2015 SC-II  
Government of India  
Ministry of Urban Development  
AMRUT Division

\*\*\*\*\*


Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi  
Dated: 3<sup>rd</sup> March, 2016

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject: 7<sup>th</sup> Meeting of Apex Committee under the Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT) regarding.

The undersigned is directed to forward herewith the minutes of 7<sup>th</sup> meeting of Apex Committee held under the Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT) on 23.02.2016 for information and necessary action.

Encls. As above.

  
(R.P. Singh)  
3/3/2016

Under Secretary (AMRUT)  
Telephone: 011-23061407

To,

1. Secretary (Department of Expenditure).
2. Secretary (Department of Economic Affairs).
3. Principal Advisor (HUD), NITI Ayog
4. Secretary (Drinking Water & Sanitation).
5. Secretary (Housing & Urban Poverty Alleviation).
6. Secretary (Environment, Forest & Climate Change).
7. Joint Secretary (PF-II), Department of Expenditure.
8. Joint Secretary & FA, MoUD.
9. OSD (UT), MoUD.
10. Adviser (CPHEEO).
11. Chief Planner, TCPO, Vikas Bhawan, IP Estate, New Delhi.
12. Director, NIUA, India Habitat Centre, New Delhi.
13. Additional Chief Secretary, Urban Affairs Department, Government of Meghalaya, Addl. Secretariat, R.No.412, Shillong 793001
14. Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department, Agartala, Tripura.
15. Secretary, PWD, Goa.
16. Commissioner- cum- Secretary, Town Planning, Puducherry.
17. Commissioner & Secretary, H & UDD, J&K.
18. Secretary, Urban Development Department, Assam.

Copy to:

- i. PSO to Secretary (UD)
- ii. PPS to AS(UD), PPS to AS (SC)
- iii. PS to JS(UD), PS to JS(SBM), PS to Director (AMRUT), PS to DS (NURM).
- iv. Sr. Technical Director (NIC), MoUD, Nirman Bhawan (with request to upload the minutes on the AMRUT website)

## **Minutes of the Seventh Meeting of Apex Committee of Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT) held on 23.02.2016.**

The List of Participants is at Annex- I

The Secretary (UD) welcomed the participants and gave an outline of the objectives of the Mission. He emphasised the Mission objective of achieving universal coverage of water supply and sewerage service. He emphasised the importance of development of Green spaces and Parks with child friendly features. He also observed that implementation of Reforms is essential as they lead to better delivery of services to citizens and good governance. Reform achievement has been incentivised under the Mission and he hoped that the States would complete the Reforms within specified timelines and will avail the 10% incentive next year. He also referred to the Reforms relating to the Swachh Bharat Mission which is also a national priority and asked the States to ensure that it is completed within the stipulated timelines. Thereafter, Secretary (UD) asked the Mission Director to initiate the proceedings.

Shri Neeraj Mandloi, Joint Secretary (UD & A) & Mission Director informed that the agenda for the meeting had already been circulated. The Mission Directorate has been extending hand holding support for preparation of Service Level Improvement Plans (SLIPs) and the SAAPs in accordance with the Guidelines of the Mission. He mentioned that the SLIPs prepared by the ULBs are aggregated to form the SAAP at the level of State Mission Directorate. The SLIPs are prioritised and recommended by the State High Powered Committee (SHPSC) for approval by the APEX Committee. He stated that the SAAP from the following seven States are listed for consideration in the meeting: (i) Assam, (ii) Jammu & Kashmir, (iii) Nagaland, (iv) Meghalaya, (v) Tripura, (vi) Puducherry, & (vii) Goa. The Mission Director requested the State Government representatives to make a presentation on the SAAP to be followed by a presentation on analysis of SLIPs by the Director (NIUA), on reforms by TCPO and on projects by CPHEEO.

### **Agenda Item No.1:**

#### **I. Assam**

The presentation on behalf of the State Government on the SAAP was made by the Principal Secretary (UD), Shri Ravi Kota. He appreciated the MoUD efforts for providing handholding support to States. Giving an outline of the urban scenario in the State he stated that urban population in the State comprises about 14% of the State population. Total number of towns is 214 and total ULBs is 96. He further elaborated that while preparing the State annual Action Plan convergence with Swachh Bharat Mission, Digital India and Smart City Mission has been adequately taken care of. He gave the status of achievement of service levels in the Mission towns of the State. The coverage of water supply ranges from 65% to

80% in the Mission cities. He informed the Committee that no projects under sewerage sector has been proposed since the sector is being covered through funds available under ADB and JICA funding. Similarly, in the city of Guwahati projects already sanctioned under JnNURM are underway. The water supply in Guwahati will be completed through the projects already underway or planned through other sources. The projects under State Annual Action Plan are prioritized according to Mission guidelines.

The Secretary (UD) asked for justification of taking up drainage projects despite there being requirements in water supply and sewerage sectors. The Principal Secretary stated that the cities urgently need drainage projects to reduce flooding. However, the Secretary emphasised that the water supply and sewerage being the first priority in the Mission over drainage and urban transport, the State should not take up projects in drainage before universal coverage in water supply and drainage is complete. The Principal Secretary agreed to the suggestion given by Secretary (UD) and proposed to shift the proposed investment of Rs.40.04 crore in drainage to sewerage and septage sector (Rs.31 crores) and to water supply sector (Rs.9 crores).

JS (SBM) observed that State Government may give focus to septage management as it is less capital intensive in comparison to sewerage network. He further, pointed out that out of Rs.325 crore allocation to the State under SBM the State has claimed only Rs.40 lakhs and has also not issued any guidelines for implementation of the SBM. He emphasised that the State Government needs to put in concerted efforts under SBM and achieve the Mission objectives. The State Government agreed to fast track the progress under SBM in the State and assured that the progress will start reflecting soon.

The Director, Department of Expenditure suggested that the State Government should also focus on flood management as the State is often influenced by flooding in Brahmaputra and also on solid waste management.

The Director NITI Ayog observed that the Assam cities having no network sewer should look at septage management as better option. He also emphasised that the accomplishment of reforms is a concern and the State should take up reforms so as to claim the incentive amount. They should specifically focus on improving finances of the cities by efficient tax collection and also on reduction of NRW to achieve better resources. The States should provide details of efforts in this regard.

The Director NIUA presented sample analysis of the SLIPs of the State and indicated that standard format of the SLIP has not been used. It was emphasised to the State that the SLIP may be revised and refined so that the next year's SAAP is better founded.

The Joint Adviser CPHEEO also emphasised relaying of selected pipelines to reduce NRW.

The Chief Planner, TCPO observed that timeline for all the reform milestones have been indicated. 11 milestones have been achieved partially by the State Government. He suggested that State Government may also act fast to achieve the prescribed reforms within prescribed timelines.

The National Mission Director while summarising the discussion proposed that the State HPSC/SLTC may adhere to the following points, especially while considering approval of DPRs by SLTC and proposed that the Committee may consider approval of SAAP of Govt. of Assam:

- i. The State Government shall submit the revised SAAP for records after reallocating the funds from storm water drainage to water supply and sewerage sectors as agreed by the Principal Secretary.
- ii. The State Government shall revise the SLIP to bring it in standard format and capture all relevant details.
- iii. No incomplete projects of JnNURM be taken up under AMRUT. The funding for those JnNURM projects which are eligible for continued support, as informed by MoUD separately, should be sought in accordance with the applicable instructions.
- iv. State Govt. need to clearly indicate about all mandatory clearances for the projects. No projects should be approved by State Level Technical Committee (SLTC) which do not have land available and no work order should be issued till receipt of all clearances from all concerned departments/authorities.
- v. Action Plan for re-cycling/re-use of waste water and reduction of NRW should be placed before the State Level Technical Committee (SLTC) at the time of appraisal of DPRs.
- vi. The break-up of coverage with sewerage network (centralized and decentralised) and septage (septic tanks) may be clearly brought out during DPR approval by SLTC.
- vii. Estimates in the SAAP should be based on SSR and not on market rates.
- viii. Water quality should also be analysed at the consumer end.
- ix. Capacity Building details to be provided to NIUA/MoUD. A useful starting point will be to train all engineers who have made the SLIPs/SAAP.
- x. Implementation of reforms will make States/UTs eligible for annual incentive. In order to get incentives reforms should be broken up into activities with timelines and sent to TCPO by the State Mission Director.

After detailed discussions the Apex Committee approved the State Annual Action Plan amounting to Rs.186.27 crore with Central share of Rs.169.34 crore and release of first instalment of Rs. 33.87 Crore of Central share as per Mission Guidelines. Committee also approved the Central assistance of Rs.5.24 crore under A&OE head for the year 2015-16.

## II. Goa

The presentation on behalf of the State Government was made by the Municipal Commissioner, Panjim Municipal Corporation.

The Municipal Commissioner presented a detail about urban scenario in the State. It was stated that even though the population of Panjim as per 2011 Census is about 59000, the city gets heavy inflow of tourists which adds to the requirement of civic infrastructure in city. As far as service levels are concerned the city has much more water available as compared to the benchmark, although the coverage is slightly less at 79%. Similarly, in sewerage the coverage of sewerage network is about 56%. It was stated that projects related to water supply and sewerage sanctioned during earlier Mission are about to complete which will cater the need of the two sectors. Therefore, the proposal of water and sewerage are basically for providing last mile connectivity. The State Government has proposed to achieve universal coverage in water sector by 2017 and in sewerage sector by 2018. He further impressed upon that since water and sewerage sector has already been taken care of they proposed major chunk of central assistance available to urban transport sector. The proposals in the sector are aimed at strengthening the facilities for pedestrians and non-motorised transport. The Municipal Commissioner informed that the city plans to go for smart metering for all the new connections to be provided. It was suggested that the city should go for metering not just for the new connections but also for all the connections keeping in view that the LPCD in the city is very high and the metering will likely result in judicious use of the resource. The State Government appreciated the need for universal metering and agreed to gradual migration to universal smart metering.

The Director, Department of Expenditure indicated to the State to accord due weightage to the solid waste management in the city. Explaining about the status of SBM, the Municipal Commissioner stated that the city has already moved towards 100% door to door collection of solid waste. They have also removed dustbins from the public spaces and have been undertaking extensive IEC activities to prevent littering and have been encouraging the citizens/tourists to carry back all the waste to homes/hotels from where it is collected.

The Director NITI Ayog highlighted that State Government has not explored any PPP option and that the State has proposed to put in full State share themselves without any share from the ULB. The State Government assured to explore the possibility of PPP.

The Director NIUA presented an assessment of the SLIP of the city. He expressed that although the SLIP has been prepared well the assessment of fund requirement has not been done for the Mission period and it has been done only for the current year. The National Mission Director stressed to the State that they should assess the full Mission requirement while the SAAP for the next year is submitted.

The Chief Planner, TCPO observed that timeline for all the 54 reform milestone has been indicated. He further indicated that out of 28 milestones which are to be completed in 2015-16 to get 10% reform incentive, the State Government has achieved 6 milestones fully,

while 5 have been achieved partially and for 17 they have to work hard for completion within mandated timeframe.

The Joint Adviser, CPHEEO stressed the need for provision of universal metering of water supply and not just the new connections.

The National Mission Director while summarising the discussion proposed that the State HPSC/SLTC may adhere to the following points, especially while considering approval of DPRs by SLTC and proposed that the Committee may consider approval of SAAP of Govt. of Goa:

- i. The State Government shall submit the revised SAAP for records after reallocating the funds from storm water drainage to water supply and sewerage sectors as agreed by the Principal Secretary.
- ii. No incomplete projects of JnNURM be taken up under AMRUT. The funding for those JnNURM projects which are eligible for continued support, as informed by MoUD separately, should be sought in accordance with the applicable instructions.
- iii. State Govt. need to clearly indicate about all mandatory clearances for the projects. No projects should be approved by State Level Technical Committee (SLTC) which do not have land available and no work order should be issued till receipt of all clearances from all concerned departments/authorities.
- iv. Action Plan for re-cycling/re-use of waste water and reduction of NRW should be placed before the State Level Technical Committee (SLTC) at the time of appraisal of DPRs.
- v. The break-up of coverage with sewerage network (centralized and decentralised) and septage (septic tanks) may be clearly brought out during DPR approval by SLTC.
- vi. Estimates in the SAAP should be based on SSR and not on market rates.
- vii. Water quality should also be analysed at the consumer end.
- viii. Capacity Building details to be provided to NIUA/MoUD. A useful starting point will be to train all engineers who have made the SLIPs/SAAP.
- ix. Implementation of reforms will make States/UTs eligible for annual incentive. In order to get incentives reforms should be broken up into activities with timelines and sent to TCPO by the State Mission Director.

After detailed discussions the Apex Committee approved the State Annual Action Plan amounting to Rs. 59.44 crore and with Central share of Rs. 29.72 crore and release of first instalment of Rs.5.94 Crore of Central share as per Mission Guidelines. Committee also approved the Central assistance of Rs.0.92 crore under A&OE head for the year 2015-16.

### III. Puducherry

The presentation on behalf of the UT Government was made by Shri M. Dhinadayalan, OSD(AMRUT).

The OSD presented the scenario of the urban sector in UT. He stated that even though there are two ULBs covered under AMRUT, they are continuous and essentially comprise the whole of Puducherry town. He informed the Committee that present coverage of water supply is 95% and level of water supply is 125 LPCD per day. The sewerage network coverage is about 60% and coverage of individual latrines is about 86%. While presenting the SAAP he informed the Committee that priority has been given to drainage sector as funds for water supply and sewerage sector is proposed to be funded through French assistance (AFD loan). The Committee observed that even though the AFD loan has been sought for the water supply and sewerage sector, the same is not only yet to be approved but there is also an expectation that the part funding be put in through national resources. In view of this, it was felt necessary that, keeping in mind the Mission priorities, the funds available through AMRUT be put in in water supply and sewerage sectors. This will also be available to be shown as collateral funding for the AFD loan. The Committee suggested to change the proposals accordingly.

The UT Government agreed to the suggestion and proposed to shift the investment proposed in drainage to water supply sector (Rs.6.735 crores in Oulgaret and Rs.5.765 crores in Puducherry) and sewerage sector (Rs.3 crores in each of the two ULBs). The proposal was discussed subsequently and approved with the agreed changes.

The Director, Department of Expenditure also suggested that, as the AFD loan is yet to be finalized, the AMRUT funds may be utilized in the priority sectors.

The Director, NIUA observed that SLIP needs to be revised as it has not captured the assessed the service levels in a wholesome manner. It was suggested that the UT Government should comprehensively revise the SLIP at the time of submission of next year's SAAP wherein convergence fund available at that point of time are also duly captured.

The JS (UD & A) and National Mission Director while summarising the discussion proposed that the State HPSC/SLTC may adhere to the following points, especially while considering approval of DPRs by SLTC and proposed that the Committee may consider approval of SAAP of Govt. of Puducherry:

- i. The State Government shall submit the revised SAAP for records after reallocating the funds from storm water drainage to water supply and sewerage sectors as agreed by the OSD.
- ii. The State Government shall revise the SLIP to capture all relevant details.
- iii. No incomplete projects of JnNURM be taken up under AMRUT. The funding for those JnNURM projects which are eligible for continued support, as informed by

MoUD separately, should be sought in accordance with the applicable instructions.

- iv. State Govt. need to clearly indicate about all mandatory clearances for the projects. No projects should be approved by State Level Technical Committee (SLTC) which do not have land available and no work order should be issued till receipt of all clearances from all concerned departments/authorities.
- v. Action Plan for re-cycling/re-use of waste water and reduction of NRW should be placed before the State Level Technical Committee (SLTC) at the time of appraisal of DPRs.
- vi. The break-up of coverage with sewerage network (centralized and decentralised) and septage (septic tanks) may be clearly brought out during DPR approval by SLTC.
- vii. Estimates in the SAAP should be based on SSR and not on market rates.
- viii. Water quality should also be analysed at the consumer end.
- ix. Capacity Building details to be provided to NIUA/MoUD. A useful starting point will be to train all engineers who have made the SLIPs/SAAP.
- x. Implementation of reforms will make States/UTs eligible for annual incentive. In order to get incentives reforms should be broken up into activities with timelines and sent to TCPO by the State Mission Director.

After detailed discussions the Apex Committee approved the State Annual Action Plan amounting to Rs. 18.97 crore with Central share of Rs. 18.97 crore and release of first instalment of Rs.3.79 Crore of Central share as per Mission Guidelines. Committee also approved the Central assistance of Rs.0.59 crore under A&OE head for the year 2015-16.

#### **IV. Tripura**

The presentation on behalf of the State Government was made by Shri Angshuman De, Director, Urban Development, Government of Tripura.

Initiating the discussion, the Principal Secretary stated that Tripura has got only one city covered under AMRUT and that it should get one more city, either Udaipur or Dharampuri, covered in the category of hill towns. The AS (UD) stated that the inclusion of cities will be considered in accordance with the guidelines.

The Director presented the urban scenario in the State. Out of the total urban population of 7.73 lakh, 4.38 lakh is getting benefitted through coverage of Agartala city under AMRUT. The city is getting convergence through Smart City Mission of the Ministry and also through other resources under Finance Commission etc. It was informed that coverage in water supply is about 70% with water supply of 70 LPCD. Under sewerage sector, the coverage of latrines is 86%, though the sewerage network is non-existent. The city is also



not collecting any sewerage for treatment. It was also stated that city also faces problem of drainage. The Director informed that to improve sewerage scenario the ADB is supporting projects in Agartala for septage management. The Director presented the details of the projects proposed to be taken up in each of the sectors. It was stated that State Government has proposed to take up Rs.26 crore worth of projects in water supply sector, Rs.2.5 crore in sewerage, Rs.4 crores in drainage, Rs.2.12 crore in urban transport and another Rs.2 crore worth of project in green spaces.

The AS (UD) observed that the water supply and sewerage sector require investment for universal coverage and should be given first priority in accordance with the Mission guidelines. The National Mission Director suggested that the investment proposed for drainage and urban transport should ideally be taken up in priority sectors only and the State Government should change the proposed investment accordingly. The Principal Secretary agreed to the suggestion and proposed to shift the investment proposed in drainage and urban transport to water supply and sewerage sectors. It was also noted that investment proposed in green spaces is more than the allowable limit of 2.5% and requested the State Government to bring it in the limits. The State Government agreed to this as well.

The Director also informed that the State Government has notified the Rules for assessment of property tax and are likely to start collecting property tax soon which will improve the financial health of the ULBs.

The Director, NIUA observed that required details are not available in SLIP. The reason may be that the process for preparation of SLIP may not have been followed. There is no detail of incremental change in the service level as a result of implementation of projects. The AS(UD) observed that SLIP is an important tool to understand the status of service level and to plan for filling the gap through subsequent SAAPs. Therefore, the State should put in serious effort in preparing the SLIP as comprehensively and accurately as possible.

The State Government pointed out the lack of skills in Tripura on technical matters like GIS planning and other important reforms. It was suggested by AS (UD) that the Mission may look at developing expertise in organisations like IIT Guwahati and NEHU, Shillong and NITs in the States.

The Chief Planner, TCPO observed that timeline has been given for all the reforms. However, State needs to expedite the implementation of reforms within prescribed timeline for reforms.

The Director, Department of Expenditure highlighted the problem of traffic during peak hours in Agartala and also desired to know how the State is managing the solid waste. The State Government responded that they are preparing the urban mobility plan for the city and are likely to address the problem of congestion through the Smart Cities Mission.

The Director, NITI Ayog stressed the need for recycle and reuse of waste water and desired that the State Government should look at the sewerage treatment plant become operational.

The JS (SBM) expressed concern that the State Government has not accepted the SBM as one of the programmes for implementation and requested the State Government to do the same as the SBM is a national priority. The State Government informed that they have decided to adopt the Mission.

The National Mission Director while summarising the discussion proposed that the State HPSC/SLTC may adhere to the following points, especially while considering approval of DPRs by SLTC and proposed that the Committee may consider approval of SAAP of Govt. of Tripura:

- i. The State Government shall submit the revised SAAP for records after reallocating the funds from non priority sectors to water supply and sewerage sectors as agreed.
- ii. The State Government shall revise the SLIP to capture all relevant details.
- iii. No incomplete projects of JnNURM be taken up under AMRUT. The funding for those JnNURM projects which are eligible for continued support, as informed by MoUD separately, should be sought in accordance with the applicable instructions.
- iv. State Govt. need to clearly indicate about all mandatory clearances for the projects. No projects should be approved by State Level Technical Committee (SLTC) which do not have land available and no work order should be issued till receipt of all clearances from all concerned departments/authorities.
- v. Action Plan for re-cycling/re-use of waste water and reduction of NRW should be placed before the State Level Technical Committee (SLTC) at the time of appraisal of DPRs.
- vi. The break-up of coverage with sewerage network (centralized and decentralised) and septage (septic tanks) may be clearly brought out during DPR approval by SLTC.
- vii. Estimates in the SAAP should be based on SSR and not on market rates.
- viii. Water quality should also be analysed at the consumer end.
- ix. Capacity Building details to be provided to NIUA/MoUD. A useful starting point will be to train all engineers who have made the SLIPs/SAAP.
- x. Implementation of reforms will make States/UTs eligible for annual incentive. In order to get incentives reforms should be broken up into activities with timelines and sent to TCPO by the State Mission Director.

After detailed discussions the Apex Committee approved the State Annual Action Plan amounting to Rs. 36.62 crore with Central share of Rs. 32.96 crore and release of first instalment of Rs.6.59 Crore of Central share as per Mission Guidelines. Committee also approved the Central assistance of Rs.1.02 crore under A&OE head for the year 2015-16.

## **V. Jammu & Kashmir**

The presentation on behalf of the State Government was made by Shri Bipul Pathak, Principal Secretary, Urban Development, Government of Jammu & Kashmir.

Presenting the SAAP he informed that four towns have been selected under the Mission. Leh being added later.

The State Government has given first priority to water supply sector till universal coverage is attained. In Jammu and Kashmir, the water supply service function is not transferred to urban local bodies, water supply schemes are carried out by PHE and I&FC department.

Jammu and Kashmir has four AMRUT cities - Jammu, Srinagar, Anantnag and Leh. The current service level benchmark for water supply as per SLIPs submitted indicates that the benchmark of 135LPCD has been achieved in Anantnag Town. For the other three cities ongoing schemes of water supply are mentioned in the Service Level Improvement Plan. As per SAAP with the completion of these ongoing schemes (Jammu (19 ongoing projects), Srinagar (3 ongoing projects), Anantnag (1 ongoing project)), the present deficit is expected to be achieved. Therefore, the State Government has proposed projects water supply projects only in Leh.

In case of sewerage network, as per SLIPs detailed project reports (DPR) are prepared and are expected to be implemented with support from JICA/ADB/14th Finance Commission award. Considering the profile of terrain in Jammu and Kashmir, septage treatment through alternate/innovative methods and purchase of septage machinery and equipment is proposed. Thus, the sewerage sector is being taken care of through external funding. The project to be funded through JICA has been recommended by the MoUD and is in the advance stage in DEA. The project has posed investment of Rs.1400 crores in Jammu and another Rs.1526 crores in Srinagar.

In light of heavy floods in 2014, the state has accorded priority to improvement of drainage network in three AMRUT towns - Srinagar, Jammu and Anantnag while prioritizing the projects for 2015-16 SAAP.

Taking into account the current service level benchmark, ongoing projects, state specific situation, rationale for prioritization of storm water drainage projects in 2015-16 SAAP, the State Government requested the approval to the SAAP with projects as proposed.

The Director, NIUA giving analytical view on SLIP observed that incremental plan to achieve service level is not captured. State Government need to give comprehensive roadmap for achieving the mandated benchmark.

The Chief Planner, TCPO observed that no timeline has been given for achieving reforms. State Government need to provide the same at the earliest.

The Director, Department of Expenditure emphasised the need of convergence of funds available to the State Government through various sources.

The JS (SBM) observed that an amount of Rs.14.75 crore has been released under SBM. However, implementation of the Mission by the State Government is not satisfactory. They need to expedite the implementation to claim central assistance for next year.

The National Mission Director while summarising the discussion proposed that the State HPSC/SLTC may adhere to the following points, especially while considering approval of DPRs by SLTC and proposed that the Committee may consider approval of SAAP of Govt. of Jammu & Kashmir:

- i. No incomplete projects of JnNURM be taken up under AMRUT. The funding for those JnNURM projects which are eligible for continued support, as informed by MoUD separately, should be sought in accordance with the applicable instructions.
- ii. State Govt. need to clearly indicate about all mandatory clearances for the projects. No projects should be approved by State Level Technical Committee (SLTC) which do not have land available and no work order should be issued till receipt of all clearances from all concerned departments/authorities.
- iii. Action Plan for re-cycling/re-use of waste water and reduction of NRW should be placed before the State Level Technical Committee (SLTC) at the time of appraisal of DPRs.
- iv. The break-up of coverage with sewerage network (centralized and decentralised) and septage (septic tanks) may be clearly brought out during DPR approval by SLTC.
- v. Estimates in the SAAP should be based on SSR and not on market rates.
- vi. Water quality should also be analysed at the consumer end.
- vii. Capacity Building details to be provided to NIUA/MoUD. A useful starting point will be to train all engineers who have made the SLIPs/SAAP.
- viii. Implementation of reforms will make States/UTs eligible for annual incentive. In order to get incentives reforms should be broken up into activities with timelines and sent to TCPO by the State Mission Director.

After detailed discussions the Apex Committee approved the State Annual Action Plan amounting to Rs. 171.00 crore which is within the 2% tolerance limit agreed by the Ministry with Central share of Rs. 153.87 crore and release of first instalment of Rs.30.77 Crore of Central share as per Mission Guidelines. Committee also approved the Central assistance of Rs.4.76 crore under A&OE head for the year 2015-16.

## **VI. Meghalaya**

The presentation on behalf of the State Government was made by Shri Bishwajeet Dutta, Director Urban Affairs, Government of Meghalaya.

The Director gave details of the urban scenario in the State and stated that the population of the AMRUT city, Shillong is 1.43 lakh out of total urban population of 5.95 lakh. The State has total of 22 towns. He also gave details of service level assessments in the city. It was stated that the coverage of water supply is about 77% with a supply of 78 LPCD. The State has not been doing any metering of the water supply. The non-revenue of the water is also quite high at 58%. Even though the State has recently started collecting user charges as part of property taxes, the rate of water charges is quite low and is resulting in recovery of about 12% of cost. In case of sewerage sector the coverage is about 94%, however, the sewerage network is non-existent and so is the collection and treatment.

The scenario in storm water drainage is satisfactory with projects undertaken under JnNURM. The city also introduced public transport under JnNURM which is doing well.

The city aims to achieve the Mission objectives in water supply by 2019.

The State Government has identified projects worth Rs.466 crores for achieving the Mission objectives in the four sectors of water supply, sewerage, drainage and urban transport. The State Government also gave details of the projects to be taken up as part of current year's SAAP. The requirement in water supply sector is being covered through ongoing projects of JnNURM transition phase. The State Government has proposed to take up projects only in sewerage and septage management and in green spaces.

The Director, NIUA expressed satisfaction over the preparation of the SLIPs. The Chief Planner, TCPO stated that the State Government has achieved five milestones mandated for the current year, six have been achieved partially and balance 14 are to be achieved. The State Government has not given status of achievement or timeline for many others.

The JS (SBM), expressed concern about non-postage of SBM information on the SBM portal. The State Government assured to take care and ensure posting of information on the portal to reflect the progress.

The Director, Department of Expenditure stated that the projects should be so designed that they are compatible with the National Action Plan of Climate Change.

The National Mission Director while summarising the discussion proposed that the State HPSC/SLTC may adhere to the following points, especially while considering approval of DPRs by SLTC and proposed that the Committee may consider approval of SAAP of Govt. of Meghalaya:

- i. No incomplete projects of JnNURM be taken up under AMRUT. The funding for those JnNURM projects which are eligible for continued support, as informed by MoUD separately, should be sought in accordance with the applicable instructions.
- ii. State Govt. need to clearly indicate about all mandatory clearances for the projects. No projects should be approved by State Level Technical Committee (SLTC) which do not have land available and no work order should be issued till receipt of all clearances from all concerned departments/authorities.
- iii. Action Plan for re-cycling/re-use of waste water and reduction of NRW should be placed before the State Level Technical Committee (SLTC) at the time of appraisal of DPRs.
- iv. The break-up of coverage with sewerage network (centralized and decentralised) and septage (septic tanks) may be clearly brought out during DPR approval by SLTC.
- v. Estimates in the SAAP should be based on SSR and not on market rates.
- vi. Water quality should also be analysed at the consumer end.
- vii. Capacity Building details to be provided to NIUA/MoUD. A useful starting point will be to train all engineers who have made the SLIPs/SAAP.
- viii. Implementation of reforms will make States/UTs eligible for annual incentive. In order to get incentives reforms should be broken up into activities with timelines and sent to TCPO by the State Mission Director.

After detailed discussions the Apex Committee approved the State Annual Action Plan amounting to Rs. 22.81 crore with Central share of Rs. 20.53 crore and release of first instalment of Rs.4.11 Crore of Central share as per Mission Guidelines. Committee also approved the Central assistance of Rs.0.64 crore under A&OE head for the year 2015-16.

## **VII. Nagaland**

The presentation on behalf of the State Government was made by Ms. Ahola Those, Secretary (UD), Government of Nagaland.

The Secretary stated that the State Government has proposed to take up project in storm water drainage and urban transport. She also stated that the water supply sector is being taken care by the ongoing schemes being implemented through funding by ADB. She stated that supply of water is 17 and 28 LPCD in the two Mission cities of the State. She also indicated that all households have their own water supply through borewells and other such arrangements and may not be in the need of piped water supply. The JS (UD&A) noted that the coverage in water supply is only 3% with extremely low quantum of water supply and still no water supply project is proposed to be taken up. The Committee felt that the State

Government has not prepared their SLIPs in a comprehensive manner and they apparently need a comprehensive review and fresh preparation with proper analysis.

The Committee decided that the State Government may prepare the SLIPs afresh and revise their SAAP and also seek assistance of the MoUD in this regard, if required. The SAAP may be resubmitted for consideration of the Apex Committee.

**Agenda Item No.2: Release of Central Assistance for projects sanctioned under JnNURM**

Committee approved the proposal with the observation that funds will be released after seeking concurrence of IFD and subject to availability of funds during the year. In case, the funds are not available in 2015-16 the approval of the Apex Committee will remain valid during 2016-17 as well. List of proposal is at Annexure –II.

**Additional Agenda**

**Co-opting of certain officers to Apex Committee**

The Committee considered the proposal placed before the Committee by the Mission Director regarding co-opting of the AS (UD), AS (SC), JS (SBM) & JS (HRIDAY) to the Apex Committee. The Committee approved co-opting of AS (UD), AS (SC) and JS (SBM) as member of Apex Committee.

**Summary of decisions of the Apex Committee:**

**A. State Annual Action Plan (SAAP)**

**Amt in Rs. crore**

<b>Name of State</b>	<b>Estimated project cost under SAAP for 2015-16</b>	<b>Central Share</b>	<b>A&amp;OE allocation to State for 2015-16</b>	<b>Committee's decision/observation</b>
Assam	186.27	169.34	5.24	Committee approved the SAAP with central share of Rs. 169.34 crore and Rs.16.93 crore as State/ULB share towards project cost and release of Rs. 33.87 Crore of Central share. Committee also approved the A&OE allocation of Rs.5.24 crore.
Goa	59.44	29.72	0.92	Committee approved the SAAP with central share of Rs. 29.72 crore and Rs.29.72 crore as State/ULB share towards project cost and release of Rs. 5.94 Crore of Central share. Committee also approved the A&OE allocation of Rs.0.92 crore.
Puducherry	18.97	18.97	0.59	Committee approved the SAAP with central share of Rs. 18.97 crore and Rs.Nil crore as State/ULB share towards project cost and release of Rs. 3.79 Crore of Central share. Committee also approved the A&OE allocation of Rs.0.59 crore.
Tripura	36.62	32.96	1.02	Committee approved the SAAP with central share of Rs. 32.96 crore and Rs.3.66 crore as State/ULB share towards project cost and release of Rs. 6.59 Crore of Central share. Committee also approved the A&OE allocation of Rs.1.02 crore.
Jammu & Kashmir	171.00	153.87	4.76	Committee approved the SAAP with central share of Rs. 153.87 crore and



				Rs.17.13 crore as State/ULB share towards project cost and release of Rs. 30.77 Crore of Central share. Committee also approved the A&OE allocation of Rs.4.76 crore.
Meghalaya	22.81	20.53	0.64	Committee approved the SAAP with central share of Rs. 20.53 crore and Rs.2.28 crore as State/ULB share towards project cost and release of Rs. 4.11 Crore of Central share. Committee also approved the A&OE allocation of Rs.0.64 crore.
Nagaland	34.98	31.48	0.97	The Committee deferred the proposal with a request that the State Government revise the SAAP in accordance with observations and resubmit for consideration.

**Agenda Item No.2: Release of Central Assistance for projects sanctioned under JnNURM**

Committee approved the proposal subject to concurrence of IFD

**Additional Agenda**

**Co-opting of certain officers to Apex Committee**

The Committee approved co-opting of AS (UD), AS (SC) and JS (SBM) as members of Apex Committee.

The meeting ended with vote of thanks to the Chair.

**Urban Infrastructure and Governance (UIG)-  
Transition Phase**

(Amount in lakhs)

S.no.	City/ State	Project Title	Proposed amount to be released
1	Ahmedabad, Gujarat	Water supply system fro Navrangpura, Stadium and Juna Vadaj wards	59.71
2	Ahmedabad, Gujarat	Water Supply System for Jodhpur ward	186.5
3	Ahmedabad, Gujarat	Automation (SCADA based) of the Sewerage System	145.62
4	Ahmedabad, Gujarat	Automation (SCADA based) of Water Supply System	175.16
5	Vadodara, Gujarat	Augmentation of Water Supply	928.9
6	Rajkot, Gujarat	Augmentation of Water Supply	547.25
<b>Sub total (Gujarat)</b>			<b>2043.14</b>
7	Pune, Maharashtra	Water Treatment plant and raw water pumping station at Wadgaon (Budruk)	885.83
8	Pune, Maharashtra	Augmentation of Water Supply System for for area along Pune Nagar Road- from Bhama Askhed dam	2851.27
<b>Sub- total (Maharashtra)</b>			<b>3737.1</b>
9	Kolkata, West Bengal	Storm Water Drainage for Baruipur Municipality	336.1
10	Kolkata, West Bengal	Storm Water Drainage for Rishra Municipality	268.13
11	Kolkata, West Bengal	Storm Water Drainage for South Dum Dum Municipality	347.39
12	Kolkata, West Bengal	Water Supply project for Bhadreswar Municipality	466.1
<b>Sub- total (West Bengal)</b>			<b>1417.72</b>
<b>Total UIG- Transition Phase</b>			<b>7197.96</b>

## UIDSSMT- MISSION PHASE

(Amount in lakhs)

S.no.	Project Title/ Town	Proposed amount to be released
<b>RAJASTHAN</b>		
1	Sewerage Project, Bikaner	775.22
2	Storm water drainage , Mangrole	58.46
3	Sewerage Project, Hanumangarh	855.8
Sub-total (Rajasthan)		1689.48
<b>Total</b>		<b>1689.48</b>

## UIDSSMT- Transition phase

(Amount in lakhs)

S.no.	Project Title/ Town	Proposed amount to be released
<b>ARUNACHAL PRADESH</b>		
1	Prevention and Rehabilitation of soil erosion/ landslide at Seppa	276.99
2	Protection and anti-soil erosion work along Simar Nallah at Yingkiong	400.14
3	Upgradation of Urban Road at Roing	727.2
4	Upgradation of Doimukh Township road	408.13
5	Protection wall at various places/ soil erosion, Aalo	396.1
6	Improvement of township road, Koloriang	607.05
Sub- total (Arunachal Pradesh)		2815.61
<b>Total</b>		<b>2815.61</b>

## Urban Infrastructure and Governance (UIG)- Mission Phase

(Amount in lakhs)

S.no.	City/ State	Project Title	Proposed amount to be released
1	Delhi	Laying interceptor sewers along 3 major drains viz najafgarh, supplementary and shahdara for abatement of pollution in Yamuna river	19007.94
<b>Sub-total (Delhi)</b>			<b>19007.94</b>
2	Vadodara, Gujarat	Basic services to developing rehabilitation of Kaans in Vadodara City (a)Storm water Drainage (b) Water Supply Sector	2098.73
<b>Sub-total (Gujarat)</b>			<b>2098.73</b>
3	Jabalpur, madhya Pradesh	Sewerage project , Phase-II	885.12
<b>Sub-total (Madhya Pradesh)</b>			<b>885.12</b>
4	Nagpur, Maharashtra	Recycle and reuse of waste water	1626.375
5	Nagpur, Maharashtra	Water Supply Pench-IV (part2)	774.5
6	Greater Mumbai, Maharashtra	Kulgaon- badlapur Underground Sewerage Scheme	1325.29
7	Pune, Maharashtra	BRTS corridor Nashik Phata to Wakad	1604.36
8	Nashik, Maharashtra	Godavari River front Development, Ghat improvement and beautification	701.17
<b>Sub-total (Maharashtra)</b>			<b>6031.695</b>
9	Imphal, Manipur	Storm water Drainage work	2306.27
10	Imphal, Manipur	Solid waste Management	580.66
11	Imphal, Manipur	Improvement of Nambul Riverfront and Naga Nala	577.09
<b>Sub- total (Manipur)</b>			<b>3464.02</b>
12	Shillong, Meghalaya	Greater Shillong water Supply project (Phase-III)	4353.69
<b>Sub- total (Meghalaya)</b>			<b>4353.69</b>
13	Kohima, Nagaland	Storm water Drainage Development Scheme Phase I	808.05
<b>Sub- total (Nagaland)</b>			<b>808.05</b>
14	Chennai, Tamilnadu	Sewage Treatment Plant of 120 MLD at Koyambedu Phase-II	1015.88
<b>Sub-Total (Tamil Nadu)</b>			<b>1015.88</b>
Punjab			
15	Ludhiana	Providing sewerage and sewage treatment plant	4224
<b>Sub-total (Punjab)</b>			<b>4224</b>
<b>Total (UIG- Mission Phase)</b>			<b>41889.125</b>

## List of Participant in the meeting of the 7th Apex Committee under AMRUT on 23.02.2016

1. Shri Madhusudan Prasad, Secretary (UD), MoUD Chairman
2. Shri Durga Shankar Mishra, AS (UD), MoUD
3. Smt Jhanja Tripathy Joint Secretary & Fiancial Advisor, MoUD
4. Shri Praveen Prakash, Joint Secretary (SBM), MoUD
5. Shri Neeraj Mandloi, Joint Secretary (UD), MoUD , National Mission Director
6. Shri A.A. Rao, Addl. DG, MoUD. Media Adv, MoUD
7. Shri Ahola Those, Secretary, UDD, Govt of Nagaland.
8. Shri Ravi Kota, Commissioner & Secretary, UDD, Govt. of Assam.
9. Shri Bipul Pathak, Commissioner & Secretary, Housing & Urban Development, J&K.
10. Shri Shiv Pal Singh, Director, MoUD.
11. Shri Parmod Kumar, Director, MoUD.
12. Shri Jeetandra Singh, Director, NITI Aayog.
13. Shri Swayan Chaudary, Director, Finance.
14. Shri Jagan Shah, Director, NIUA
15. K. K. Joddar, Chief Planner, TCPO
16. Shri V.K. Chaurasia, Adviser, MoUD.
17. Shri Sanjit, Commissioner, Panaji, MoUD.
18. Dr. M. Dhinadhayalam, OSD (Smart City & AMRUT), Govt of Puducherry
19. Smt. Yashodhara Vijayan, Deputy Secretary, IFD, MoUD.
20. Shri Ramakant, Dy Adviser, MoUD.
21. Shri Ranjit K., UDD, Govt of Assam.
22. Shri S.C. Jana, Dy Secretary, M/o HUPA.
23. Shri G. Ravinder, Dy Secy. (NURM), MoUD.
24. Shri Y. Jaya Priyadarshini, SRO/NITI Aayog.
25. Er. G.R. Zargar, Sr. Consultant, Ministry of Drinking Water & Sanitation.
26. Shri J.B Ravinder, Joint Adviser, MoUD
27. Shri Amog Morang, Director, ULB, Govt of Itanagar.
28. Shri Anshuman Dey, Director (UD), Govt of Tripura
29. Shri Ken K., Director, UD, Govt. Nagaland.
30. Shri B. Dutta, Director, UD, Govt of Meghalaya.
31. Shri Satish Mane, Assistant, Adviser.
32. Shri S. Ragunathan, Chief Town Planner, Govt of Puducherry.
33. Shri S.K. Rakesh, UDD, Govt of Tripura.
34. Shri R.P. Singh, US, MoUD.
35. Shri Thingom lalit kumar Singh, US, MoUD.
36. Shri. A.N. Nanda Kishore, Research Fellow, NIUA.
37. Shri Naresh Kr. Dhiran, Town and Country Planner, TCPO, MoUD.
38. Mrs Primola Bhalla, Associate, TCPO.