

K-14012/180/2015 SC-II  
Government of India  
Ministry of Urban Development  
Smart Cities-IV Division  
\*\*\*\*\*

Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi  
Dated: 4<sup>th</sup> November, 2015


**OFFICE MEMORANDUM**

Subject: Minutes of Meeting of Apex Committee held under the Chairmanship of Secretary(UD) on 21.10.2015 to consider State Annual Action Plans (SAAPs) under the Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT) regarding.

-----

The undersigned is directed to forward herewith the minutes of the meeting of Apex Committee held under the Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT) on 21.10.2015 for information and necessary action.

Enclosures. As above.

  
(R.P. Singh)

Under Secretary (SC-II)  
Telephone: 011-23061407

To,

1. Secretary (Department of Expenditure). (Kind Attn. Sh. Chittranjan Das, Dir(PF-II).
2. Secretary (Department of Economic Affairs). (Kind Attn. Sh Manik Chandra Pandit, Dy Director).
3. Principal Advisor (HUD), NITI Ayog (Kind Attn.: Sh. Alok Kumar, Advisor & Sh Jeetendra Singh, Director)
4. Secretary (Drinking Water, Sanitation).
5. Secretary (Housing & Urban Poverty Alleviation). (Kind Attn.: Sh. R.S. Singh, Director).
6. Secretary (Environment, Forest & Climate).
7. Joint Secretary (PF-II), Department of Expenditure.
8. Joint Secretary & FA, MoUD.
9. OSD (UT), MoUD.
10. Adviser (CPHEEO).
11. Chief Planner, TCPO, Vikas Bhawan, IP Estate, New Delhi.
12. Director, NIUA, India Habitat Centre, New Delhi.
13. Principal Secretary (UD),Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department, Room No. 105, L-Block, Ground Floor, Andhra Pradesh Secretariat, Hyderabad – 500 022.
14. Principal Secretary, Urban Development & Urban Housing Department, Block No.14, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor Sardar Bhavan, Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar.
15. Principal Secretary to Government of Rajasthan, Department of Local Self Government, Room No. 8223, SSO Building, Government Secretariat, Jaipur-302005, Rajasthan.
16. Principal Secretary, Urban Development & Housing Department, Government of Jharkhand, Dhurwa, Ranchi-834004, Jharkhand.

Copy to:

- (i) PSO to Secretary (UD)
- (ii) PPS to AS(UD), PPS to AS (SC)
- (iii) PS to JS(UD), PS to JS(W)
- (iv) PS to Director (SC-IV), PS to Director (SC-II)
- (v) Sr. Technical Director (NIC), MoUD, Nirman Bhawan (with request to upload this on the AMRUT website)

## **Minutes of the Meeting of Apex Committee of Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT) held on 21.10.2015.**

The List of Participants is at Annex- I

The Secretary (UD) welcomed the participants and gave an outline of the objectives of the Mission. He emphasised the Mission objective of achieving universal coverage of water supply and sewerage service. He also observed that implementation of Reforms is essential as they lead to better delivery of services to citizens and good governance. Reform achievement has been incentivised under the Mission and he hoped that the States would complete the Reforms within specified timelines and will avail the 10% incentive next year. He also referred to the Reform relating to the Swachhh Bharat Mission and asked the States to ensure that it is completed within the stipulated timelines. Thereafter, Secretary (UD) asked the Mission Director to initiate the proceedings.

Dr. Sameer Sharma, Additional Secretary (SC) & Mission Director informed that the agenda for the meeting had already been circulated. The Mission Directorate has been extending hand holding support for preparation of Service Level Improvement Plans(SLIPs) and the SAAPs in accordance with the Guidelines of the Mission. He mentioned that the SLIPs prepared by the ULBs are aggregated to form the SAAP at the level of State Mission Directorate. The SLIPs are prioritised and recommended by the State High Powered Committee (SHPSC) for approval by the APEX Committee. He stated that the SAAP from the following four States are listed for consideration in the meeting:

- (i) Rajasthan
- (ii) Gujarat
- (iii) Andhra Pradesh
- (iv) Jharkhand

The Mission Director requested the State Government representatives to make a presentation on the SAAP to be followed by a presentation on analysis of SLIPs by the Director NIUA.

## **Agenda item No. 1**

### **SAAP of Rajasthan**

The Principal Secretary (LSG) Rajasthan gave a presentation on the SAAP of the State. The State had proposed the SAAP for 2015-16 with an outlay of Rs 919 crore and Central Assistance of Rs 459.50 crore. It was stated that 28 cities in the State are covered under AMRUT. Jhalawar which has recently been brought under the Mission has also been included. All the cities where projects have been proposed have a population of less than one million and are therefore entitled to 50% Central Assistance. The State will be extending 30% share for the projects. They are taking up 10 projects for water supply, 6 for sewerage and propose to develop a park in each of the Mission city. The State proposes to include O&M costs for 10 years which will be funded from levy of service charges and by the ULB/State Government. The Committee was informed that extensive stake holder consultations, as required under the Guidelines have been carried out while preparing the SLIPs and the SAAP. On enquiry from Secretary (UD) he informed that a park with children features is being developed in each city in 2015-16. On being asked by the JS (Works) the Pr. Secretary, Rajasthan informed that they would harmonise the targets under SBM with those under AMRUT under the related reform. He also informed the convergence of various schemes in the State. He also informed that a policy on recycling and reuse of waste water is expected soon. On the appointment of the PDMC the Committee was informed that the State has already appointed PDCOR as the PDMC for the State.

On reforms, the Pr.Secretary informed that the State was already covering 'e-governance' under the 'Smart Raj' project with an outlay of Rs 125 crore. On GIS mapping it was informed that 12 cities will have a GIS based master plan during the current year and they propose to bring all properties under the tax net. Secretary (UD) appreciated the efforts of the State, especially the order on property tax issued by the State is a model fit to be followed by other States, and observed that the State should make efforts to claim the 10% incentive in 2016-17 if they adhere to the timelines for Reforms achievement. .

The JS(works) suggested that the Rajasthan can think of aggressive model for increasing the coverage of water supply and sewerage by removing by removing the entry fee in terms of connection charges on line of Chhattisgarh and recovering the cost subsequently in the form of increased user charges. Pr. Secretary, Govt. of Rajasthan stated that they proposed to recover the connection charges in instalments after household connections.

The Director NIUA presented an assessment of SLIP and observed that SLIPs are based on 2011 figures, reveal no duplication and have included some innovations. The SLIPs capture the existing service level well and are reveal diversity in service level across the cities.

The Chief Town Planner, TCPO observed that present status of reforms should be reflected and year-wise break up of milestones to be achieved may be indicated. The CPHEEO stated that for measuring the water quality the samples should be drawn at consumer end. Sampling at source end is not sufficient.

The AS(SC) told Govt. of Rajasthan that the suggestions expressed in the Meeting may be incorporated in the DPR at the time of approval by SLTC.

The Director, Deptt. Of Expenditure suggested to avoid duplications, emphasised on convergence with Smart City Mission and sufficient consultation with stakeholders. Incentive of 10% can be used for completion of projects.

The Advisor, Niti Aayog observed that periodic reform milestones need to be spelt out especially for those who have long period of implementation, so that the progress could be reviewed every year. Principal Secretary, Rajasthan assured the Committee that there would not be any duplication in projects and timeline for reforms will be adhered to.

Secretary (UD) enquired about the availability of land and other clearances which are not indicated in SAAP. Prl. Secretary, Rajasthan informed the committee that land is available and all the requisite clearances will be ensured by the State Govt.

AS (SC) and National Mission Director while summarising the discussion proposed that the State HPSC/SLTC may adhere to the following points, especially while considering approval of DPRs by SLTC and proposed that the Committee may consider approval of SAAP of Govt. of Rajasthan:

- i. State Govt. need to clearly indicate about the availability of Land and other clearances. No projects should be approved by State Level Technical Committee (SLTC) which do not have land available and no work order should be issued till receipt of all clearances from all concerned departments/authorities.
- ii. Action Plan for re-cycling/re-use of waste water and reduction of NRW should be placed before the State Level Technical Committee (SLTC) at the time of appraisal of DPRs.
- iii. The State Govt. should try to attain convergence between the AMRUT and SBM according to Mission Guidelines.

- iv. The break-up of coverage with sewerage network (centralized and decentralised) and septage (septic tanks) may be clearly brought out during DPR approval by SLTC.
- v. Estimates in the SAAP should be based on SSR and not on market rates.
- vi. Water quality should also be analysed at the consumer end.
- vii. Capacity Building details to be provided to NIUA/MoUD. A useful starting point will be to train all engineers who have made the SLIPs/SAAP.
- viii. Implementation of reforms will make States/UTs eligible for annual incentive. In order to get incentives reforms should be broken up into activities with timelines and sent to TCPO by the Mission Director.

After detailed discussion the Apex Committee approved the State Annual Action Plan amounting to Rs.919.00 crore with Central share of Rs.459.50 crore and release of first instalment of Rs. 91.90 Crore of Central share as per Mission Guidelines. Committee also approved the Central assistance of Rs.14.26 crore under A&OE head for the year 2015-16.

## **Agenda item No. 2**

### **SAAP of Andhra Pradesh**

The Principal Secretary, A.P. gave a broad overview of the SAAP and the reforms being pursued by them. The Pr. Secretary informed the Committee they have prioritised the city wise projects in accordance with objective of the Mission. They propose to take water supply project first and prioritising them in following order:

- i. Providing House service Connection wherever network, Reservoirs & Source is available;
- ii. Providing House service Connection & distribution lines wherever Reservoir & source is available;
- iii. Providing House service Connection, distribution lines and reservoirs wherever Source is available and;
- iv. Providing House service Connection, distribution lines, reservoirs and source improvement.

The Additional Secretary (UD) observed that element related to creation of source and infrastructure need to be given priority and taken at early stage as it will require more time. The Prl. Secretary stated that following the principle of more with less

they are first focussing to provide connections where infrastructure exists to improve coverage. He further elaborated that they would focus on all the prioritised items to achieve the objective of the Mission. About availability of land and other clearance, State Govt. stated that land is available and other requisite clearances, wherever required, would be ensured.

Secretary (UD) asked the Pr. Secretary about the development of Parks/green spaces, NRW reduction and also impressed upon the convergence with other Schemes. The Prl. Secretary, A.P stated that they have proposed to develop parks in each of the Mission city as per guidelines. Reduction of NRW and convergence with other Schemes have been given due consideration and will be taken care of at the time of preparation of DPR.

The JS(Works) pointed out that the information system of State under SBM has not been integrated so far with National Portal under SBM. State Govt. stated that they have already initiated action for integration of State system with National Portal and would be integrated soon.

The TCPO observed that in the SAAP, present status of reforms as well as year-wise break up of reform milestones to be achieved need to be indicated. The CPHEEO suggested that for measuring the water quality, the samples should be drawn at consumer end . It was also emphasised that the option of providing septage may be explored which would require lesser investment than establishment of sewerage network.

Director, NIUA, while giving presentation on SLIPs observed that overall the gap assessment has been done accurately based on data of 2011 census and all the Mission cities have prepared SLIPs in accordance with local situations.

The Director, Deptt. Expenditure observed that convergence with Smart City Mission and reduction in NRW should be ensured. He suggested that State Govt. may explore the option of PPP model for implementation of projects and there should not be duplication with funds available under Andhra Pradesh Rehabilitation Act. The State Govt. stated that component under the said Act is different and therefore duplication of work may not happen.

The Advisor, Planning Commission also observed about NRW reduction and convergence with other Schemes should be ensured to avoid duplication. It was also stressed upon the importance of metered water connection for assessment and reduction of NRW. The representative of Ministry of Drinking Water & Supply suggested that dovetailing of AMRUT projects with NRDWP will also be helpful in reduction of costs as the creation of infrastructure under both the Scheme can be put

for optimum utilization through convergence. He supported the focus on universal coverage which is also the first priority in provision of drinking water in the rural areas.

The AS (SC) observed that State Govt. may incorporate the suggested points in the DPR as the time of approval by SLTC. He also pointed out that State Govt. need to achieve the reform milestones within prescribed time limit to get the 10% incentive during the next year.

State Govt. of Andhra Pradesh expressed their agreement for incorporating the suggestions pointed out by the Committee. The Committee was also informed that the State Govt. has identified Andhra Pradesh Urban Finance and Infrastructure Development Corporation (APUFIDC) as financial intermediary to pool funds from all sources and release funds to ULBs in time. The State Govt. also informed that projects in Amravati will be taken up once its boundary and plans are finalized.

The AS (SC) and National Mission Director while summarising the discussion proposed that the State HPSC/SLTC may adhere to the following points especially while consider approval of DPR by SLTC and proposed that the Committee may consider approval of SAAP of Govt. of Andhra Pradesh:

- i. State Govt. need to clearly indicate about the availability of Land and other clearances. No projects should be approved by State Level Technical Committee (SLTC) which do not have land available and no project work order should be issued if all clearances from all the departments have not been received by that time.
- ii. Action Plan for re-cycling/re-use of waste water and reduction of NRW should be placed before the State Level Technical Committee (SLTC) at the time of appraisal of DPRs.
- iii. The State Govt. should try to attain convergence between the AMRUT and SBM according to Mission Guidelines.
- iv. The break-up of sewerage network – centralized and decentralised and septage may be clearly brought out during DPR approval by SLTC.
- v. Estimates in the SAAP should be based on SSR and not on market rates.
- vi. Water quality should be analysed at the consumer end.
- vii. Capacity Building details to be provided to NIUA/MoUD. A useful starting point is to train all engineers who have made the SLIPs/SAAP.

- viii. Preparation of action plan of achieving the Reforms mandated in the Mission with time lines and specific milestones for those reforms which are spread over longer periods.

After detailed discussion the Apex Committee approved the State Annual Action Plan of Rs.662.46 crore with Central share of Rs.300.41 crore and release of first instalment of Rs. 60.08 Crore of Central share as per Mission Guidelines. Committee also approved the Central assistance of Rs.10.26 crore under A&OE head for the year 2015-16.

### **Agenda item No. 3**

#### **SAAP of Gujarat**

The presentation on SAAP of Gujarat was made by Sh Vijay Anadkat, Superintending Engineer, GUDM. It was stated that in Gujarat, coverage of water supply is 94.98%, coverage of latrines (individual or community) is about 90.75% and coverage of sewerage network is about 26.14%. The State Govt. has proposed that they would achieve 100% coverage of latrines by 2016 and universal coverage of water supply and sewerage network in five years. The State Govt. further informed that they have proposed water supply projects in 11 cities/towns, sewerage project in 25 cities/towns, Storm water drain in 2 cities/towns, Urban Transport in one city (cycle track in Gandhinagar) and park in 4 cities.

The Secretary enquired about the reason for not taking up the projects for development of parks in all Mission Cities and also about consultation with stakeholders. The representative of State Govt. stated that the under AMRUT State Govt. has proposed to develop parks in four cities and the remaining cities/towns will be covered with the funds available under State Govt. Schemes. This year the major share of proposed requirement of fund for development of park under AMRUT will go to Gandhinagar. Regarding consultation with stakeholders the State Govt. informed the committee that consultation has been done and the prioritization of ULBs for funding has been made after consultation with local MPS/MLAs etc.

On the issue of reforms the representative of the State Govt. stated that they will achieve the reform within the prescribed limit. They have further elaborated that to promote E-Governance the State Govt. has already taken e-nagar initiative to provide easy accessibility and one stop solution for delivery of various municipal services.

Director, NIUA while making presentation on SLIPs stated that the under the SLIP the service level gaps were identified accurately which also capture the wide range of the

diversity amongst cities. CPHEEO observed that State should also give due weightage towards sewerage collection efficiency. They suggested that metering should be made mandatory in order to reduce NRW.

The Director, Deptt. of Expenditure and Advisor, Niti Aayog suggested that reduction in NRW and convergence with other schemes may be kept in view while executing the projects under the Mission.

The National Mission Director while summing up the discussion proposed that the State HPSC/SLTC may adhere to the following points especially at the time of approval of DPRs by SLTC and proposed that the Committee may consider approval of SAAP of Govt. of Gujarat:

- i. Action Plan for re-cycling/re-use of waste water and reduction of NRW should be placed before the State Level Technical Committee (SLTC) at the time of appraisal of DPRs.
- ii. State Govt. need to clearly indicate about the availability of Land and other clearances. No projects should be approved by State Level Technical Committee (SLTC) which do not have land available and no work order should be issued till receipt of all clearances from all concerned departments/authorities.
- iii. The State Govt. should try to attain convergence between the AMRUT and SBM according to Mission Guidelines.
- iv. The plan of enhancing sewerage services through the two approaches, namely, sewerage network – centralized and decentralised; and septage, may be clearly brought out at the stage of DPRs approval by SLTC.
- v. Estimates in the SAAP should be based on SSR and not on market rates.
- vi. Water quality should be analysed at the consumer end.
- vii. The State HPSC has proposed parks in only four cities during 2015-16. The SAAP may be revised to take up at least one Park in each Mission City/ Town. As adequate funds from State Govt are available, they may be used to fund the larger/more expensive parks. as convergence.
- viii. Implementation of reforms will make States/UTs eligible for annual incentive. In order to get incentives, reforms should be broken into activities with timelines and sent to the TCPO by the Mission Director.
- ix. Capacity Building details to be provided to NIUA/MoUD. A useful starting point will be to train all engineers who have made the SLIPs/SAAP.

After deliberations and detailed discussions the Apex Committee approved the State Annual Action Plan of Rs.1204.42 crore with Central share of Rs.564.30 crore and release of first instalment of Rs. 112.86 Crore of Central share as per Mission Guidelines. Committee also approved the Central assistance of Rs.18.26 crore under A&OE head for the year 2015-16.

#### **Agenda item No. 4**

##### **SAAP of Jharkhand**

A presentation was made by Shri R.K.Sharma, Director (SUDA), State Government of Jharkhand on the present service level of the State. Shri R.K.Sharma stated that the State has seven cities covered under AMRUT. He also stated that a request has been made for inclusion for four more cities under the scheme, namely, Jamshedpur, Manago, Sahibgunj and Ramgarh, giving details of justification in respect of their inclusion.

Thereafter, while presenting the State Annual Action Plan, it was stated that Mission ULBs are generally low on achievement of service levels and there is wide gap in service levels of water supply and sewerage. It was also stated that the metering is also very low to the extent of 10% and Non-Revenue Water (NRW) is quite high on 65%. On being asked by the Secretary (UD), it was informed that assessment of non-revenue water was done by a Consultant appointed by the State Government. This was also discussed by the Committee at length. It was highlighted that one of the reasons of high non-revenue water was low metering, and no water charges, leading to non-billing of water supply.

It was brought out in the presentation that coverage of networked sewerage system is abysmally low at 14%. There is no sewerage system in any city except Jamshedpur and Bokaro.

It was presented that the State Government has proposed to take up the development of one park in each Mission city.

The State Govt. stated that there will be no improvement in service level in the 2015-16 in any of the sectors. It was stated that even though DPR preparation is not likely to take much time, the tendering of contract and award of works will take some time and there may not be any time left for works to begin and improvement of services.

It was observed that this does not appear to be an appropriate reason because there are more than five months left in the financial year and other States have shown expected improvement in service level in various sectors. The State Govt. of Jharkhand may have to relook at the projects being taken up in the current financial

year. The projects can be rescheduled where they may lead to improvement of service levels in 2015-16 also. The Joint Secretary and Financial Adviser highlighted that the improvement of service level has shown to be zero even in parks which does not appear to be understandable. The renovation of parks does not take much time. The Secretary stated that the State should review achievement of service levels and stated that construction of parks should be done in the financial year. He also stated that in water sector the State Govt. can focus on providing house service connection, where network may be available, which will lead to achievement in service levels.

The TCPO indicated that many of the points brought out in the presentation are missing in the SAAP. It was also noted that Jharkhand has obtained loan from the World Bank for the urban sector. This can also be used for the projects and may be mentioned in the SAAP.

The State Government explained that for the cities of Ranchi and Dhanbad which have more than 10 lakh population and eligible for 1/3 of the Central assistance, the State has committed 40% of project cost. For other cities the State has committed 30% of the project cost. The balance funds are to be arranged by ULBs themselves.

The Director NIUA presented the assessment of the SLIPs of the State. It was stated that the institutional capacity building requires urgent attention. The Secretary inquired whether there is any plan indicated to address the situation. It was stated that there is no such plan indicated in the SLIPS. The State Government responded by showing that they have notified establishment of municipal cadre in July 2014 and have asked the State Public Service Commission to do the recruitment which, however, has not happened yet. As a stop gap arrangement, the State Government has done some recruitment on contract basis and is in the process of recruiting for some more position.

The CPHEEO stated that the State Govt. is proposing to achieve 100% sewerage network in the Mission period of 5 years from the current level of 0% and stated that this may not be realistic. It was stated that the State Govt. may have a relook and propose a combination of sewerage network and septage management depending upon local conditions.

The Director, Department of Expenditure stated that the sewerage in state is negligible at this point of time. So it may be priority of the State Govt. At the same time the nonrevenue water in the state is very high and the State Govt. should strive to reduce non-revenue water losses. He also desired to know the availability of funds to the city under the Special Package for LWE areas and which can be used for

convergence. The State Govt. responded that four cities are falling under the LWE. However funds are not available for the last two years under the Special Package.

The Secretary (UD) observed that the SAAP has to be revised and resubmitted by the State HPSC.

#### **Agenda item No. 5**

##### **Proposal for release of funds for on-going Projects sanctioned under JnNURM:**

Apex Committee approves in principle, release of subsequent instalments for projects under JnNURM, which are eligible for continued support by Govt. of India, as per Annexure-II subject to examination by IFD.

#### **Agenda Item No. 6**

##### **Proposals for release of 2nd installment of ACA for buses sanctioned during transition phase (i.e. 2013-14) of JnNURM**

The Director (Urban Transport-I) informed the Committee that Ministry had released 1<sup>st</sup> instalment of ACA to 114 cities/ cluster of cities in respect of 7509 buses out of the total sanction of 10000 buses. He stated that Ministry has so far received Utilisation Certificates and status of reforms in respect of 55 cities/ cluster of cities. Hence, it is proposed that Apex Committee may consider to release 2<sup>nd</sup> instalment of ACA amounting to Rs.246.66 cr. to these cities/ cluster of cities.

Further, he stated that the instructions dated 14.8.2015 were issued to “cover incomplete JnNURM projects, the central assistance would be limited to 50% of the approved cost or the actual cost whichever is less except for NE and Hilly States”. After a detailed discussion the Apex Committee made the following decision:

- i. **Commitment from States/ cities for remaining 30% funding:** In case of those projects where Govt of India share/ Additional Central Assistance (ACA) has been slashed from 80% to 50%, the State Government/ ULB has to give an undertaking that they will meet the remaining cost i.e. 30% of project cost from their own resources in order to complete the procurement of approved number of buses.
- ii. **Actual Cost to be taken in case of bus funding projects:** The projects under bus funding scheme has been approved based on the actual cost and not the estimated cost due to the reasons mentioned in the bus funding guidelines dated 16.08.2013 (Para 7.4) which states that:

“since the buses as per UBS-II will be procured for the first time in India, the cost of the buses and accordingly the ACA component for the buses would be finalised on placement of orders.”

This was discussed in various Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee (CSMC) meetings earlier while sanctioning the no. of buses to the States/cities. This was also agreed to by the Internal Finance Division while releasing the 1<sup>st</sup> instalment. Further instalments i.e. 2<sup>nd</sup> / 3<sup>rd</sup> instalments will be released based only on the actual cost as per purchase order submitted by the city authority/ ULBs.

- iii. Kerala Government, Himachal Pradesh Government and Tripura Government have not released their shares of the funds to their concerned ULBs/ cities. The matching share of the State Government should be released and UC should be submitted to MoUD before release of the 2<sup>nd</sup> instalment.

The 2<sup>nd</sup> instalment of bus funding i.e. Rs.246.66 crore was approved for release to State Governments as per **Annex-III** subject to conditions in Para (i) to (iii) above and with concurrence of IFD.

Director (UT-I) also raised the issue regarding release of DPR preparation cost to the cities. He stated that cities are pressing hard for reimbursement of the preparation cost. Their request is in line with the bus funding guidelines [para 5.1(i)]. The cost of the preparation of Detailed Project Report (DPRs) had to be reimbursed under JnNURM subject to actual or 1% normally & with exceptional justification upto a maximum of 2% of the overall project cost in case of all new cities/ clusters being proposed for the first time. However, for cities which have already availed the bus funding in past, the maximum limit shall be 0.75% of the overall project cost.

In view of the commitment made by the Ministry, the Apex Committee agreed to reimburse the DPR preparation cost in consultation with the Internal Finance Division under A & OE of the AMRUT budget of 2015-16.

#### **Agenda item No. 7**

#### **Delegation of Power to National Mission Director for incurring expenditure under A&OE earmarked for MoUD.**

The National Mission Director proposed that for functioning of the day to day activities, the National Mission Director may be delegated the power to incur expenditure out of 2% A & OE component of the Mission in consultation with IFD. The Committee approved the proposal.

**Summary of decisions of the Apex Committee:**

**A. State Annual Action Plan (SAAP)**

**Amt in Rs. crore**

<b>Name of State</b>	<b>Estimated project cost under SAAP for 2015-16</b>	<b>Central Share</b>	<b>A&amp;OE allocation to State for 2015-16</b>	<b>Committee's decision/observation</b>
Rajasthan	919.00	459.50	14.26	Committee approved the SAAP with central share of Rs.459.50 crore towards project cost and release of Rs. 91.90 Crore of Central share. Committee also approve the A&OE allocation of Rs.14.26 crore.
Andhra Pradesh	662.86	300.41	10.26	Committee approved the SAAP with central share of Rs.300.41 crore towards project cost and release of Rs. 60.08 Crore of Central share. Committee also approve the A&OE allocation of Rs.10.26 crore.
Gujarat	1204.42	564.30	18.26	Committee approved the SAAP with central share of Rs.564.30 crore towards project cost and release of Rs. 112.86 Crore of Central share. Committee also approve the A&OE allocation of Rs.18.26 crore.
Jharkhand	313.36	138.23	4.85	Committee observed that some gaps have to be addressed in the SAAP. State Govt. may address the concerns of the Committee and submit revised SAAP quickly.

**B. Release of next instalment against the sanctioned project under JnNURM which are eligible for funding under AMRUT:**

The Committee approved the release of subsequent instalments for projects under JnNURM, which are eligible for continued support by Govt. of India, as per Annexure-II subject to examination by IFD.

**C. Release of 2nd instalment of ACA for buses sanctioned during transition phase (i.e. 2013-14) of JnNURM :**

The 2<sup>nd</sup> instalment of bus funding i.e. Rs.246.66 crore is approved for release to State Governments as per **Annex-III** subject to conditions mentioned under Agenda item No. 6 at point (i) to (iii).

The Apex Committee also agreed to reimburse the DPR preparation cost in consultation with the internal finance division under A & OE of the AMRUT budget.

**D. Delegation of Power to National Mission Director for incurring expenditure under A&OE earmarked for MoUD.**

The Committee approved the proposal to delegate the power to incur expenditure out of 2% A & OE component of the Mission earmarked for MoUD in consultation with IFD.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

-----

**List of Participant in the meeting of the Apex Committee under AMRUT on 21.10.2015.**

1. Shri Madhusudan Prasad, Secretary(UD), MoUD Chairman
2. Shri Durga Shankar Mishra, Additional Secretary(UD) , MoUD
3. Dr. Sameer Sharma, Additional Secretary(SC) , MoUD National Mission Director
4. Smt. Jhanja Tripathy, Joint Secretary & Financial Advisor, MoUD
5. Shri Praveen Prakash, Joint Secretary (Works) , MoUD
6. Shri Neeraj Mandloi, Joint Secretary (UD) , MoUD
7. Shri Jeetandra singh, Director, NITI Aayog
8. Shri Mukund Kumar Sinha, OSD (UT) , MoUD
9. Shri Manjit Singh, Pr. Secretary, LSGD, Government of Rajasthan
10. Shri Ajay Mittal, Addl Chief Secretary, Transport, Government of Himachal Pradesh
11. Shri Dinesh Kumar, Director (SC-II) , MoUD
12. Shri Chittranjan Das, Dir(PF-II), Department of Expenditure, MoF
13. Shri Shivpal Singh, Director (SC-IV) , MoUD
14. Shri R.K. Singh, Director(UT), MoUD
15. Shri R.S. Singh, Director, MoHUPA
16. Shri Sajeesh Kumar N, DS(SC-III) , MoUD
17. Shri Alok Kumar, Advisor(NITI), NITI Aayog
18. Shri K. Kannabau, Director(MA), Govt of AP
19. Smt. Yashodhara Vijayan, DS, IFD, MoUD
20. Shri Jagan Shah, Director, NIUA, MoUD
21. Shri V.K.Chaurasia,CPHEEO, MoUD
22. Shri M.Dhindhayalan, CPHEEO, MoUD
23. Shri G. Ravinder, DS(NURM) , MoUD
24. Shri R.P. Singh, US(SC-II) , MoUD
25. Shri Manik Chandra Pandit, Dy Dir, DEA, MoF
26. Shri K.K. Joadder, C.P. TCPO, MoUD
27. Shri J.K. Kappor, Associate TCP, MoUD
28. Shri Harpreet Singh PDCOR Ltd, Government of Rajasthan
29. Shri Lalit Karol, ACE, RUIFDCO, Government of Rajasthan
30. Shri Ashok Tiwari, MD, HPTC, Govt of Himachal Pradesh
31. Dr. Jiban Ch. Chakarberty, Addl. Secretary, Transport, Government of West Bengal
32. Shri R.A. Jethwa, GUDM, Government of Gujarat
33. Shri Vijay Anadkat, GUDM, Government of Gujarat
34. Shri Viral Patel, GUDM, Government of Gujarat
35. Shri R.K. Sharma, Director(SUDA), Govt of Jharkhand
36. Shri G. Kondala Rao, CE, APUFIDC, Govt of AP
37. Shri N.V. Rao, PA, APUFIDC, Govt of AP
38. Dr. Pamu Pandduranga Rao, ENC(PH), MA &UD Dept. Govt of AP
39. Shri R. Sasidhar, Dir(CP) & CTM(MSC) APSRTC Govt of AP
40. Shri B. Ravinder, Dir(CP) & CTM(MSC) TSRTC, Govt of Telagana
41. Shri G. Balasubramaiam, Dy. Adv(PHE), SBM.G MDWS
42. Shri Sanjay S. Bhosde,A.T.M., KMT
43. Shri Sanjay S. Bhosde,A.T.M., KMT
44. Shri Devinder, Transport Manager, KDMT
45. Shri Ratan Bishwas, Transport Deptt., Tripura
46. Shri Derrick Perriera, Kadamba Transport Corp.
47. Shri Vallabhdaj Kunicolieniler, Kadamba Transport Corp.

**UIG- Mission Phase**

(Amount in Lakhs)

S. no.	Project	Approved Cost	ACA committed	ACA released so far	Instalment claimed for	Amount to be released (in Lakhs) (based on 50% of project cost or lower) (based on tendered cost)
<b><u>MADHYA PRADESH</u></b>						
1	Water Supply Distribution Network, Bhopal (Central Share- 50%)	41545.64	20772.84	13502.32	4 <sup>th</sup>	5193.21
<b><u>BIHAR</u></b>						
2	Integrated Solid Waste Management in Patna UA towns - Phulwarishariff, Khagul and Danapur (Central Share- 50%)	1155.81	577.91	231.17	3 <sup>rd</sup>	144.48
<b>Sub Total (A)</b>						<b>5337.69</b>

**UIDSSMT – Mission Phase**

(Amount in Lakhs)

Sl. no.	Project/ Town	Approved Cost	Tendered Cost	ACA Committed (80% of approved or tendered cost whichever less)	ACA release So far	Amount of 2 <sup>nd</sup> install (in Lakhs) (based on 50% of project cost or lower) (based on approved or tendered cost whichever less )
<b><u>Tamil Nadu</u></b>						
1	Water Supply, Kovilpatti	7060.14	8182.14	5648.11	2824.05	706.02
<b>Sub- Total (B)</b>						<b>706.02</b>

**UIG- Transition Phase**

(Amount in Lakhs)

S. no.	Project	Approved Cost	ACA committed	ACA released so far	Instalment claimed for	Amount to be released (in Lakhs) (based on 50% of project cost or lower)
<b><u>MADHYA PRADESH</u></b>						
1	Development of walk way and cycle track, Bhopal (Central Share- 50%)	1647.12	823.56	205.89	2 <sup>nd</sup>	84.62
2	BRTS Supplementary DPR, Bhopal (Central share- 50%)	8276	4138	1034.5	2 <sup>nd</sup>	620.7
<b><u>GUJARAT</u></b>						
3	Strengthening Solid Waste Management, Rajkot (Central Share- 50%)	4172.54	2086.27	521.57	2 <sup>nd</sup>	312.94
4	WTP, transmission Line and storage reservoir for water supply system of East Zone, Surat (Central Share- 50%)	4913.74	2456.87	614.22	2 <sup>nd</sup>	368.52
5	Augmentation of Karanj Sewerage Treatment Plant under East Drainage Zone , Surat (Central Share- 50%)	5723	2861.50	715.38	2 <sup>nd</sup>	429.23
<b><u>MAHARASHTRA</u></b>						
6	Sewerage Collection system in CIDCO HUDCO area, South Nanded (Central Share- 80%)	3126.94	2501.55 *	625.39	2 <sup>nd</sup>	156.35
7	Sewerage Scheme for Additional Municipal corporation, Nanded (Central Share- 80%)	7642.96	6114.37 *	1528.59	2 <sup>nd</sup>	382.15
8	Water Supply Distribution system in CIDCO HUDCO area, South Nanded (Central Share- 80%)	2198.37	1758.70	439.68	2 <sup>nd</sup>	109.92
9	Water Supply Scheme for Additional Municipal corporation, Nanded (Central Share- 80%)	1847.50	1478	369.50	2 <sup>nd</sup>	92.38
<b><u>PUNJAB</u></b>						
10	BRTS, Amritsar (Central share- 50%)	49554	24777	6194.25	2 <sup>nd</sup>	3716.55
<b>Sub- Total (C)</b>						<b>6273.36</b>

**UIDSSMT- Transition Phase**

Sl. no.	Project/ Town	Approved Cost (in Lakhs)	Tendered Cost (in Lakhs)	ACA Committed (80% of approved or tendered cost whichever less) (Lakhs)	ACA rel So far (in Lakhs)	Proposed amount of 2 <sup>nd</sup> instal (based on 50% of project cost or lower) (based on approved or tendered cost whichever less ) (in Lakhs)
<b><u>Madhya Pradesh</u></b>						
1	Road scheme, Ashta	541.28	525.41	420.32	216.51	46.20
2	Water augmentation, Shamgarh(Mandsaur)	2374	2657.41	1899.2	949.6	237.4
3	Water augmentation, Warseoni	2232	2361.31	1785.6	892.8	223.2
4	Road scheme, Damua	652.52	627.38	501.90	261.01	52.68
5	Road scheme, Rehti	211.60	185.85	148.68	84.64	8.29
6	Road and drain scheme, Dongar Parasia	1206.37	1117.11	893.69	482.55	76
7	CC Road and drains, Pipla Narayanwar	408.09	372.62	298.09	163.23	23.08
8	Road scheme, Newton Chikli	604.25	580.78	464.62	241.70	48.69
9	Road & Drains, Singoli	264.71	277.68	211.77	105.88	26.48
10	Road Scheme, Mohgaon, Chhindwara	462.18	401.98	321.58	184.87	16.12
11	Water Supply, Pipalya Mandi	968.72	1279.08	774.98	387.49	96.87
12	Road Scheme, Katni	4567	4567	3653.6	1826.8	456.7
13	Road & Drain scheme, Dewas	1254.5	1288.86	1003.6	501.8	125.5
14	Road & Drain Scheme, Harrai, Chhindwara	177.27	172.11	137.69	70.90	15.16
15	Road & Drain Scheme, Budhni Hoshangabad	504.29	519.33	403.43	201.68	50.43
16	Road & Drain Scheme, Kolaras, Shivpuri	1234.03	1214.34	971.47	493.61	113.56
17	Road Scheme, Amla, Betul	477.66	480.9	382.12	191.06	47.77
18	Road Scheme, Manawar, Dhar	475.15	392.1	313.68	190.06	5.99

19	Road Scheme, Warseoni	810.96	748.8	599.04	324.38	50.02
----	-----------------------	--------	-------	--------	--------	-------

20	Road & Drain Scheme, Pipariya, Hoshangabad	385.46	333.09	266.47	154.18	12.37
21	Water Supply- II, damoh	3715.95	4124	2972.76	1486.38	371.6
22	Road & Drain Scheme, Mungaoli, Ashok Nagar	550	454.62	363.70	220	7.31
23	Water Supply scheme, Mandleshwar	799.29	1027	639.43	319.72	79.93
24	Road Scheme, Shujalpur, Shajpur	499	448.66	358.93	199.60	24.73
25	Water Supply Scheme, Chandameta Butaria	1432.20	1744	1145.76	572.88	143.22
26	Road Project , Multai	723.34	692.72	554.18	289.33	57.03
27	Road Project , Lakhnadon	519.37	544.17	415.5	207.75	51.94
28	Water Supply, Guna	7140.42	7641.01	5712.34	2856.17	714.04
29	Water Supply, Neemuch	1545.98	1828	1236.78	618.39	154.6
30	Road Project, Piplya mandi	487.5	509.44	390	195	48.75
31	Road project, Chandemata Butaria	321.3	317.45	253.96	128.52	30.20
32	Road project, Lodhikheda	417.33	394.82	315.86	166.93	30.48

<b>Himachal Pradesh</b>						
33	Construction of Various roads, Dharamshala	2094.54	2129.18	1675.63	837.82	837.81
34	Water Supply Scheme, Dharamshala	2973	3390	2379.11	1189.56	1189.55
35	Water Supply, Nagrota	1101	1123	880.8	440.4	440.4

<b>Maharashtra</b>						
36	Water Supply, Kolhapur	42541	48874.35	34032.8	17016.4	4254.1

<b>Uttar Pradesh</b>						
37	Water Supply Project, Raebareilly	10618.46	10618.46	8494.77	4247.39	1061.84

<b>Karnataka</b>						
38	Water Supply, Ramdurga	3471.30	-	2777.04	1388.52	347.13

<b>Tamilnadu</b>						
39	Water Supply Scheme, Kangeyam	1423.71	1706.46	1138.97	569.49	142.37

<b>Sub- Total (D)</b>						<b>11719.54</b>
<b>Grand Total (A+B+C+D)</b>						<b>24036.61</b>

### Annexure-III

**Proposal approved for release of 2<sup>nd</sup> instalment of ACA for buses sanctioned during transition phase (i.e. 2013-14) of JnNURM**

-----

Rs. in Crore

S. No.	States	No. of cities	Release of ACA for No. of buses	Eligible Project Cost for ACA as per P. O.	ACA as per the P.O.	Revised ACA	1 <sup>st</sup> instt. released	Remainin g liability of ACA	Approved for release of 2nd installment
1	Andhra Pradesh	7	370	126.75	89.93	63.37	44.96	18.41	<b>1.49</b>
2	Assam	1	330	122.22	109.99	109.99	54.99	55.00	<b>44.02</b>
3	Chhattishgarh	21	292	66.21	49.21	33.1	24.57	8.53	<b>5.52</b>
4	Goa	1	50	18.03	14.42	9.01	7.21	1.80	<b>0.29</b>
5	Himachal Pradesh	13	800	202.11	181.93	181.93	90.93	91.00	<b>72.81</b>
6	Kerala	5	400	186.19	148.92	93.09	74.47	18.62	<b>9.32</b>
7	Maharashtra	7	1055	460.69	275.47	230.34	137.74	92.60	<b>37.33</b>
8	Sikkim	1	41	9.46	8.5	8.5	4.25	4.25	<b>3.42</b>
9	Telangana	4	210	112.25	58.67	45.75	29.34	16.41	<b>9.69</b>
10	Tripura	1	100	27.07	24.37	24.37	12.19	12.18	<b>9.75</b>
11	West Bengal	5	874	422.83	178.84	158.58	89.43	69.15	<b>53.02</b>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>4522</b>	<b>1753.81</b>	<b>1140.25</b>	<b>958.03</b>	<b>570.08</b>	<b>387.95</b>	<b>246.66</b>

-----